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#### Abstract

Background Rifampin (RIF) is one of the primary first-line combination antibiotics indicated for Mycobacterium tuberculosis, which greatly reduces the length of chemotherapy. Pyrazinamide (PZA) is also an antimicrobial agent, especially effective against multi-drug-resistant (MDR) tuberculosis (TB), resistant to isoniazid (INH) and RIF. M. tuberculosis acquires resistance to RIF through mutations in the rpoB gene, while compensatory mutations in the rpoC gene restore the fitness of RIF-resistant $M$. tuberculosis. M. tuberculosis acquires its resistance to PZA by having mutations in the pncA gene. A total of 93 M. tuberculosis isolates attained from patients were analysed to examine the mutation patterns of $r p o B, r p o C$, and $p n c A$ in South Korea.

Methods Antibiotic susceptibility was determined by carrying out bacterial cultures of drug-resistant mycobacterial isolates. Mutations in the $r p o B, r p o C$ and $p n c A$ genes were identified by sequencing analysis, while the attributes of mutations were determined by comparing a relevant wild-type DNA sequence with that of a mutant allele. (H37Rv, American Type Culture Collection 25618).

Results A drug susceptibility test was performed for the total of 93 M. tuberculosis isolates that had been successfully cultured. Of these 93 isolates that were subjected to drug susceptibility testing (DST), 75 were found to be resistant to multiple drugs. Of these 75 isolates, 20 were MDR-TB; 7 were MDR-Plus; 36 were extensively drugresistant XDR-TB; and 12 were drug-resistant (DR)-TB. A total of 66 cultured $M$. tuberculosis isolates were found to be RIF-resistant; 40 cultured isolates were found to be PZA-resistant; 39 cultured isolates were found to be both RIF- and PZA-resistant; and 18 were identified as being pan-susceptible (pan-S). Substitutions or multiple-site


mutations in the $r p o B$ region were identified in 56 isolates (56/80, 70.0\%), of which 91.1\% (51/56) were resistant to RIF and 9 distinctive-site mutations were identified. Fifteen (15) different types of rpoC mutations were identified in 24 isolates (24/93, $25.8 \%$ ), all of which were resistant to both INH and RIF. The mutation rates in MDRand XDR-TB were $37.0 \%$ (10/27) and $38.9 \%$ (14/36), respectively. Substitutions of a single nucleotide (22/24, $91.7 \%$ ) or substitutions of multiple-site mutations (2/24, 8.3\%) in the rpoC region were identified, and neither deletion nor insertion mutation was detected in any of the isolates. No mutations were identified in the rpoC region of any drug-susceptible strains. Various mutations were identified in the pncA gene in 46 isolates: Nucleotide substitutions, deletions, insertion, multiple-site mutations and 25 different mutation sites were found. Of these various mutations detected in 46 isolates, substitution of a single nucleotide was most common (27/46, 58.7\%), followed by multiple-site mutations (4/46, 8.7\%) and insertion (4/46, 8.7\%). Frameshifts caused by an insertion or a deletion of a single or multiple nucleotides at various sites accounted for $15.2 \%(7 / 46)$ of all mutations.

Conclusion Mutations of the rpoB, rpoC and pncA genes are the essential mechanism of RIF and PZA resistance in drug-resistant M. tuberculosis isolates. Detection of rpoB, rpoC and pncA gene mutations can complement in vitro DST and DNA-based diagnosis of RIF and PZA resistance, and is a promising method for the rapid detection of drug resistance.

Key Words: Mycobacterium tuberculosis, multi-drug resistance, rpoC mutations, rpoB mutations, pncA mutations, MDR, and XDR
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1882, Robert Koch discovered the causative agent of tuberculosis (TB), an airborne infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. TB still continues to be a major cause of morbidity and mortality, primarily in deprived or moderately poor countries (World Health Organization, 2015) in 2016. Having primarily a pulmonary pathophysiology, M. tuberculosis may be manifested as extra-pulmonary TB as part of a primary or late, generalized systemic infection. Also, the clinical manifestations of TB may be widely extended from asymptomatic infection to a life-threatening malady (Barry, et al., 2009, Esmail, et al., 2014). From a clinical and public health perspective, TB may be pragmatically classified into two: (1) asymptomatic non-transmissible latent TB infection (LTBI) and (2) transmissible active-pulmonary TB, for which culture-based or molecular diagnostics can be used. Patients with active TB may present general symptoms, such as fever, fatigue, lack of appetite and weight loss, while those with pulmonary TB can experience persistent cough and hemoptysis of an advanced ailment. However, some patients with active, culture-positive disease may be asymptomatic and are best described as having subclinical TB (Barry, et al., 2009, Esmail, et al., 2014).

The first-line anti-TB agents that constitute a standard treatment regimen are isoniazid (INH), rifampicin (RIF), pyrazinamide (PZA), and ethambutol (EMB). Bacterial resistance to multiple-drugs are reality and the notion of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) to INH and RIF has been accepted worldwide (World Health Organization. 2015). Extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB) strains, which cause even more severe clinical manifestations, are resistant to not just INH and RIF but also fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides. The worldwide emergence of MDR-TB and XDR-TB threatens global efforts to contain tuberculosis (Gandhi, et al., 2010, World Health Organization. 2015). The combination of INH and RIF is an effective primary first-line anti-TB
regimen (Abate et al., 2014, Jeon et al., 2015, Park, et al., 2016). MDR-TB strains, resistant to INH and RIF, have placed an increasing burden on South Korea (Jeon, et al., 2015, Park, et al., 2016, Tauhid, et al., 2014). M. tuberculosis can acquire resistance to RIF through mutations in $r p o B$, encoding the $\beta$ subunit of RNA polymerase (Cavusoglu, et al., 2002, Yue, et al., 2003, Yun, et al., 2005). Mutations in the rpoC gene, encoding the $\beta^{\prime}$ subunit of RNA polymerase, were also associated with increased in vitro fitness. Such mutations were overrepresented among patients inflicted with MDR-TB isolates in the high MDR-TB burdened countries (Comas, et al., 2011, de Vos, et al., 2013). Mutations in the rpoC gene were overrepresented among MDR-TB strains and one study showed that $M$. tuberculosis isolates harbouring rpoB mutations also carried nonsynonymous mutations in the rpoC gene (de Vos, et al., 2013). PZA is an effective anti-tubercular agent as well as an important treatment option in cases with MDR-TB strains resistant to INH and RIF. PZA, administered concurrently with a first-line drug regimen of INH and RIF, shortens the duration of anti-tubercular treatment (Mphahlele et al., 2008). M. tuberculosis can acquire resistance to PZA through mutations in the pncA gene, which encode pyrazinamidase (PZase). PZA is a prodrug that must be enzymatically converted to the active form pyrazinoic acid by PZase reaction. PZase activities, revealed through study findings of drug resistance to PZA, are apparently the pathophysiologic mechanism responsible for PZA resistance. PZA-resistant strains having mutations in the $p n c A$ gene contribute to the loss of its activity (Scorpio, et al., 1996). Furthermore, mutations in $p n c A$ have demonstrated a solid correlation between the loss of PZase activities and PZA resistance in M. tuberculosis (Hirano, et al., 1998, Kim, et al., 2012, Mphahlele, et al., 2008,). In this study, we investigated the patterns of rpoB, rpoC and pncA mutations in drug-resistant and susceptible M. tuberculosis among patients in South Korea.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Mycobacterial isolates and susceptibility testing

Ninety three (93) M. tuberculosis isolates with clinically observed drug resistance or with susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis drugs were collected at National Masan Hospital and Pusan National University Colleague of Medicine in South Korea. Each isolate was cultured on Löwenstein-Jensen (LJ) medium at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-4$ weeks and tested for resistance at critical concentrations of capreomycin (CPM) ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), EMB (2.0 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), INH ( $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), kanamycin (KM) ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), ofloxacin (OFX) $(2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$, streptomycin (SM) (4 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), PZA ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Wayne's pyrazinamidase assay) (Wayne et al., 1974), and RIF ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ).
M. tuberculosis H37Rv (American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) 27294) was used as a positive control for all experiments. Regarding drug resistance profiles, MDR was defined as having resistance to both RIF and INH; XDR, MDR plus resistance to any of the second-line injectable drugs and fluoroquinolones; DR , any drug resistance other than MDR or XDR; and Pan-S, susceptible to all drugs. Sixty-six (66) isolates were RIFresistant M. tuberculosis (Table 1). This study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of the International Tuberculosis Research Centre, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

### 2.2. DNA preparation for plymerase chain reaction (PCR)

The bead beater-phenol extraction method was utilized to extract DNA (Kim, et al., 1999). Two or three fragmented specimens were suspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of distilled water in Screw Cap Microcentrifuge tubes filled with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (packed volume) of glass beads
(diameter, 0.1 mm ; Biospec Products; Bartlesville, Okla) and $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of phenol-chloroform-isopropyl alcohol (50:49:1). The tube was oscillated on a Mini-Bead Beater (Biospec Products) for 1 minute to disrupt the tissues and bacteria, and then centrifuged $(12,000 \times g, 5 \mathrm{~min})$. After the aqueous phase was transferred to another clean tube, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 3 M sodium acetate and $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ice-cold ethanol were added, and the mixture was kept at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. The obtained DNA pellets were then washed with $70 \%$ ethanol. Then, the solution was dissolved in $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TE buffer ( 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA [pH 8.0]), and used it as a template for PCR.

### 2.3. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing of the rpoB

The rpoB DNAs ( 342 bp ), encompassing the Rif ${ }^{\text {r }}$ region, which is associated with RIF resistance in M. tuberculosis, were amplified by PCR with the GeneAmp PCR System 9600 (PerkinElmer, Foster City, CA, USA) using MF,) (Kim, et al., 1999). Briefly, the PCR parameters were 5 minutes at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 40 cycles of 45 seconds at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45$ seconds at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 60 seconds at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a final extension at 10 minutes at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PCR products were purified using the QIAEX II Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen Inc., Mainz, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced using the BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing kit with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Nucleotide sequences were analyzed using the BioEdit software (version 5.0.9.1, Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA, USA), Chromas version 2.33 (Technelysium, Brisbane, QLD, Australia) (http://www.technelysium.com.au/chromas.html), and Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD, USA) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Mutations in rpoB encoding regions were
defined as any nucleotide difference compared with those in the RIF-susceptible strain H37Rv (ATCC 25618).

### 2.4. PCR and sequencing of the rpoC

The rpoC region (1730 bp) was amplified by PCR with the GeneAmp PCR System 9600 (PerkinElmer, Foster City, CA, USA) using the primers 5'-CGAAAACCTCTACCGCGAAC-3' and 5’-CACGGAAGGAGGACTTGACC-3 (de Vos, et al., 2013). Briefly, the PCR parameters were 5 minutes at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 40 cycles of 45 seconds at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45$ seconds at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 60 seconds at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ending with a final extension of 10 minutes at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PCR product was purified using the QIAEX II Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen Inc., Mainz, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced using the BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing kit with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using primers 5'-CGAAAACCTCTACCGCGAAC-3' and 5'-CACGGAAGGAGGACTTGACC-3 (Comas, et al., 2011). Nucleotide sequences were analysed using the BioEdit software (version 5.0.9.1; Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA), Chromas version 2.33 (Technelysium, Brisbane, QLD, Australia) (http://www.technelysium.com.au/chromas.html), and the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD, USA) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Mutations in the rpoCencoding regions were defined as any nucleotide difference leading to translational changes of RpoC compared with those in the RIF-susceptible strain, H37Rv (ATCC 25618).

### 2.5. PCR and sequencing of the $p n c A$

The pncA (670 bp) region was amplified by PCR with the GeneAmp PCR System 9600 (PerkinElmer, Foster City, CA, USA) using primers 5'-GGCGTCATGGACCCTATATC-3' and 5'-CAACAGTTCATCCCGGTTC-3 (Kim, et al., 1999, Kim, et al., 2012, Yun, et al., 2005). Briefly, the PCR parameters were 5 minutes at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 40 cycles of 45 seconds at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45$ seconds at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 60 seconds at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a termination using a final extension step at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. The PCR products were purified using the QIAEX II Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen Inc., Mainz, Germany) according to the manufacture6r's instructions and sequenced using the BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing kit with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Nucleotide sequences were analyzed using the BioEdit software (version 5.0.9.1; Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA, USA), Chromas version 2.33 (Technelysium, Brisbane, QLD, Australia) (http://www.technelysium.com.au/chromas.html), and the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD, USA) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Mutations in pncA encoding regions were defined as any nucleotide difference compared with those in the PZA-susceptible strain H37Rv (ATCC 25618).

## 3. RESULTS

Ninety three (93) clinical isolates, obtained from South Korean patients, were included in this study. In the drug-susceptibility testing (DST), 75 isolates were found to be multidrug-resistant. Twenty (20) were categorized as MDR-TBs; 7, MDR-Plus; 36, XDR-TB; and 12, DR-TB. Sixty-six (66) cultured M. tuberculosis isolates were found to be RIF-resistant, 40 cultured M. tuberculosis isolates were found to be PZA-resistant, 39 cultured M. tuberculosis isolates were found to be both RIF and PZA-resistant, and 18 were categorized as pan S. (Table 1).

### 3.1. The patterns of rpoB mutations

The $r p o B$ PCR products were obtained from 80 cultured isolates, among the total of 93 isolates ( $80 / 93,86.0 \%$ ), and sequenced (Table 2). Substitutions or multiple-site mutations in the $r p o B$ region were identified in 56 isolates (56/80, 70.0\%), and found that 91.1\% (51/56) were resistant to RIF (Table 2). The mutation rates in MDR- and MDR Plus-TB were $86.4 \%$ (19/22) and XDR-TB was $93.5 \%$ (29/31). Nine (9) different mutation sites were identified (Figure 1). Substitution of a single nucleotide was most common ( $52 / 56,92.9 \%$ ), and the most frequent mutation site was at codon 531 (nucleotide (nt) 1594), which resulted in amino acid substitution from Ser to Glu, Leu or Tyr in 34 isolates (34/52, 65.4\%) (Table 2). Neither deletion nor insertion mutation was detected in any of the isolates, while no mutation was identified in 5 isolates, despite the fact that they were proven to be MDR- and MDR Plus-TB (3/22, 13.6\%) or XDR-TB $(2 / 31,6.5 \%)$. Six (6) isolates (no. 22, 28, 30, 35, 37, and 77) had mutations in the rpoB, but were sensitive to RIF (Table 2). Some of the mutations and multi-site mutations revealed in this investigation had not been previously reported. These new mutations
were indicated in Table 2. The wild-type DNA sequences of rpoB and the mutation sites in this study were shown in Figure 1.

### 3.2. The patterns of rpoC mutations

The rpoC PCR products were amplified from 93 isolates, and sequenced. Fifteen (15) different types of mutations were identified in 24 isolates (24/93, 25.8\%), all of which were resistant to both INH and RIF, multidrug-resistant tuberculosis and mutation rates in MDR- and XDR-TB were $37.0 \%$ (10/27) and $38.9 \%$ (14/36), respectively (Table 3). Substitutions of a single nucleotide (22/24, $91.7 \%$ ) or substitutions of multiple-site mutations $(2 / 24,8.3 \%)$ in the rpoC region were identified. However, neither deletion nor insertion mutation was detected in any of the isolates. No mutation was identified in the $r p o C$ region of any drug-susceptible strain.

A mutation at codon 452 (nt 1356), detected in 7 isolates, was the most common mutation (7/24, 29.2\%) and a mutation at codon 531 (nt 1594), which is the nucleotide most frequently involved in rpoB mutation, were also detected in these isolates (Table 2 and 3) (Cavusoglu, et al., 2002, Yue, et al., 2003, Yun, et al., 2005). Twelve (12) different mutation sites (at codon 281 (nt 843), 416 (nt 1249), 434 (nt 1302), 446 (nt 1338), 561 (nt 1683), 575 (nt 1726), 581 (nt 1745), 728 (nt 2186), 747 (nt 2242), 801 (nt 2403), 812 (nt 2437), and 813 (nt 2441)) were first reported in this study (Comas, et al., 2011, de Vos, et al., 2013); these new mutations are indicated in Figure 2 and Table 3.

### 3.3. The patterns of pncA mutations

Of the 93 isolates, the pncA PCR products were obtained in 89 cultured isolates ( $89 / 93,95.7 \%$ ), and sequenced. Various mutations, identified by the pncA gene of 46 isolates, include nucleotide substitution, deletion, insertion, and multiple-site mutations
(Table 4). Twenty-five (25) different mutation sites were identified, and substitutions of single nucleotides were the most common (27/46, 58.7\%), followed by multiple-site mutations (4/46, 8.7\%) and insertions (4/46, 8.7\%). Frameshifts caused by insertion or deletion of a single or multiple nucleotides in various sites accounted for $15.2 \%(7 / 46)$ of all mutations. The most frequently mutated sites were at nt 403 , which showed a substitution from adenosine to cytosine, resulting in an amino acid substitution from Thr to Pro in 8 isolates that are resistant to PZA $(8 / 46,17.4 \%)$. One isolate was MDR-TB and 7 isolates were XDR-TB). Ten (10) isolates were identified with no mutation (10/89, 11.2\%), despite having proven drug resistance to PZA. The 10 PZA-resistant isolates comprised 7 of the 36 XDR-TB strains (19.4\%); 2 of the 21 MDR-TB (9.5\%); and 1 of the DR-TB. Some mutations revealed in this investigation were not reported previously. These new mutations are shown in Table 3, and the wild-type DNA sequences of $p n c A$ and the mutation sites including the promoter regions in this study are shown in Figure 3. Mutations in both rpoB and pncA were found in 28 isolates. Twentythree (23) out of the 28 isolates ( $82 \%$ ), all of which are MDR or XDR-TB, were RIF- and PZA- resistant. Four (4) of these isolates (1 XDR, 1 MDR, 1 MDR Plus and 1 DR) were RIF-resistant, while one isolate was INH-resistant. One MDR isolate (no. 68, resistant to RIF) and two XDR-TB isolates (No. 32 and 55, resistant to RIF and PZA) had new mutations in rpoB and pncA that had not been previously reported.
Table 1. Drug resistance profiles of $\mathbf{9 3}$ M. tuberculosis isolates.

| No. | Drug resistance | Drug resistance profile | No. | Drug resistance | Drug <br> resistance <br> profile | No. | Drug resistance | Drug resistance profile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 44 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, MFX, PZA | XDR | 87 | CPM | DR |
| 2 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 45 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 88 | None Detected | Pan-S |
| 3 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 46 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX | XDR | 89 | INH, RFP | MDR |
| 4 | INH, RFP, CPM, KM, PZA | MDR | 47 | SM, INH, RFP, OFX, MFX, PZA | MDR | 90 | INH, RFP, MFX, CPM | XDR |
| 5 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB, OFX, MFX, PZA | MDR | 48 | None Detected | Pan-S | 91 | INH, RFP, CPM | MDR Plus |
| 6 | INH, RFP, OFX, MFX | MDR | 49 | CPM | DR | 92 | None Detected | Pan-S |
| 7 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB CPM, KM, MFX, PZA | XDR | 50 | INH, RFP, OFX, MFX, PZA | MDR | 93 | None Detected | Pan-S |
| 8 | SM, INH, RFP, MFX, PZA | MDR | 51 | INH, RFP, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR |  |  |  |
| 9 | INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 52 | INH, RFP | MDR |  |  |  |
| 10 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 53 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR |  |  |  |
| 11 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 54 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB, OFX, PZA | XDR |  |  |  |
| 12 | SM, INH, RFP, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 55 | SM, INH, RFP, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR |  |  |  |
| 13 | INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 56 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR |  |  |  |
| 14 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX | XDR | 57 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR |  |  |  |
| 15 | INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 58 | INH, RFP, CPM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR |  |  |  |
| 16 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 59 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR |  |  |  |
| 17 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, PZA | MDR | 60 | RFP, CPM | DR |  |  |  |
| 18 | INH, RFP, OFX, MFX, PZA | MDR | 61 | INH, RFP | MDR |  |  |  |
| 19 | INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 62 | INH | DR |  |  |  |
| 20 | INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 63 | INH, RFP, LEV, OFX, MFX, | MDR Plus |  |  |  |
| 21 | INH, RFP, CPM, KM, MFX, PZA | XDR | 64 | INH, RFP, LEV, OFX, MFX, KM, AMK, CPM | XDR |  |  |  |
| 22 | None Detected | Pan-S | 65 | INH, RFP, LEV, OFX, MFX, KM, AMK, CPM | XDR |  |  |  |
| 23 | None Detected | Pan-S | 66 | INH, RFP, OFX, KM | XDR |  |  |  |


| 24 | None Detected | Pan-S | 67 | RFP | DR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | None Detected | Pan-S | 68 | INH, RFP, | MDR |
| 26 | None Detected | Pan-S | 69 | INH, RFP, CPM | MDR Plus |
| 27 | SM, INH, CPM, PZA | DR | 70 | None Detected | Pan-S |
| 28 | INH | DR | 71 | INH, RFP, LEV, OFX | MDR Plus |
| 29 | None Detected | Pan-S | 72 | INH, RFP | MDR |
| 30 | None Detected | Pan-S | 73 | INH, RFP | MDR |
| 31 | None Detected | Pan-S | 74 | INH, RFP | MDR |
| 32 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 75 | INH, RFP | MDR |
| 33 | None Detected | Pan-S | 76 | RFP, CPM | DR |
| 34 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 77 | None Detected | DR |
| 35 | None Detected | Pan-S | 78 | INH, RFP, LEV, OFX, MFX, KM, AMK, CPM | XDR |
| 36 | None Detected | Pan-S | 79 | INH, RFP, LEV, OFX | MDR Plus |
| 37 | INH | DR | 80 | INH, RFP, LEV, OFX | MDR Plus |
| 38 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB CPM, KM, MFX, PZA | XDR | 81 | CPM | DR |
| 39 | INH, RFP, EMB, OFX, MXF, PZA | MDR | 82 | INH, RFP, LEV, OFX | MDR Plus |
| 40 | INH, RFP, CPM, KM, PZA | MDR | 83 | None Detected | Pan-S |
| 41 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 84 | INH, RFP | MDR |
| 42 | SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA | XDR | 85 | None Detected | Pan-S |
| 43 | SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, PZA | MDR | 86 | CPM | DR |

[^0]Table 2. Mutations detected in the rpoB of $\mathbf{8 0}$ isolates.

| Nucleotide change (nucleotide no.) | Translational change (codon no.) | Cultured <br> isolates <br> ( $n=80$ ) <br> (100\%) | MDR-TB <br> isolates $(n=18)$ $(22.5 \%)$ | XDR-TB <br> isolates $\begin{gathered} (n=31) \\ (38.75 \%) \end{gathered}$ | Others <br> isolates $\begin{gathered} (n=5) \\ (6.25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | Pan-s <br> isolates <br> ( $n=12$ ) <br> (15\%) | MDR-Plus <br> isolates $(n=4)$ (5\%) | DR <br> Isolates $\begin{aligned} & (n=5) \\ & (6.25 \%) \end{aligned}$ | All S <br> isolate $\begin{gathered} (n=5) \\ (6.25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | Remarks | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Substitution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAA(1539)->AAA | Gly(513)->Lys | 1(1.25) | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |
| GAC(1549)->GTC | Asp(516)->Val | 8(10) | 2(2.5) | 5(6.25) |  |  | 1(1.25) |  |  | Substitution | $10,15,34,40,42,43,45,71$ |
| GAC(1549)-> TAC | Asp(516)-> Tyr | 1(1.25) |  |  | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 28 |
| CAC(1578)->GAC, | His(526)->Asp, | 3(3.75) | 3(3.75) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5, 6, 47 |
| CAC(1578)->CGC | His(526)->Arg | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  | 1(1.25) |  |  |  | 63 |
| CAC(1578)-> TAC | His(526)-> Try | 1(1.25) | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| TCG(1594)->TGG | Ser(531)->Try | 2(2.5) | 1(1.25) | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 14,50 |
| TCG(1594)->CAG | Ser (531)->Glu | 1(1.25) |  | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 41 |
| TCG(1594)-> ${ }^{\text {TTG }}$ | Ser (531)->Leu | 31(38.7) | 6(7.5) | 19(23.7) | 1(1.25) | 1(1.25) | 2(2.5) | 2(2.5) |  | Substitution | $\begin{aligned} & 1, \mathbf{2 , 3}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 1}, 12, \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 7}, 18,19, \mathbf{2 0}, \\ & 21,30, \mathbf{3 8}, 39, \mathbf{4 4}, \mathbf{4 6}, 52,53,54,56,57 \text {, } \\ & 59,60,67,69,74,77,79 \end{aligned}$ |
| CTG(1635)->TTG | Leu(545)->Leu | 1(1.25) |  |  | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 37 |
| Multi-site mutation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACC(1441)->ATC } \\ & \text { TCG(1594)->TTG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thr (480)->Iso } \\ & \text { Ser(531)->Leu } \end{aligned}$ | 1(1.25) |  | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 55 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TCG(1594)->TTG } \\ & \text { GGG(1634)->GGC } \\ & \text { CTG(1635)->TTG } \end{aligned}$ | Ser(531)->Leu <br> Gly(544)->Gly <br> Leu(545)->Leu | 1(1.25) |  |  |  | 1(1.25) |  |  |  | Substitution | 22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GAC(1549)->AAC } \\ & \text { CAC(1578)->AAC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp(516)->Asn } \\ & \text { His(526)->Asn } \end{aligned}$ | 1(1.25) | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 68 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GGG(1634)->GGC } \\ & \text { CTG(1635)->TTG } \\ & \text { CAC(1655)->CAT } \end{aligned}$ | Gly(544)->Gly <br> Leu(545)->Leu <br> His(551)->His | 1(1.25) |  | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TCG(1594)->TTG } \\ & \text { CTG-(1635)->TTG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser(531)->Leu } \\ & \text { Leu-(545)->Leu } \end{aligned}$ | 1(1.25) |  |  |  | 1(1.25) |  |  | Substitution | 35 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GAC(1549)->GGC } \\ & \text { CTG(1600)->CCG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asp(516)->Gly } \\ & \text { Leu(533)->Pro } \end{aligned}$ | 1(1.25) |  | 1(1.25) |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 78 |
| Wild type | No change | 24(30) | 3(3.75) | 2(2.5) | 2(2.5) | 9(11.2) | 3(3.75) | 5(6.25) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4,16,23,24,25,26,27,29,31,33,36 \\ & 48,49,51,61,62,70,73,76,85,86,88 \\ & 92,93 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 3. Mutations detected in rpoC of $\mathbf{9 3}$ M. tuberculosis isolates.

| Nucleotide change (s) <br> (Nucleotide no.) | Translational change (codon no.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cultured } \\ \text { Isolates } \\ (n=93) \\ n(\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MDR-TB <br> Isolates $(n=20)$ $n(\%)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { XDR-TB } \\ \text { isolates } \\ (n=36) \\ n(\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Others <br> Isolates $\begin{gathered} (n=5) \\ n(\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pan-S } \\ \text { Isolates } \\ (n=12) \\ n(\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MDRPlus isolates ( $n=7$ ) $n$ (\%) | DR <br> Isolates $(n=7)$ $n(\%)$ | All S <br> Isolate $\begin{gathered} (n=6) \\ n(\%) \end{gathered}$ | NO. | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Substitution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ATC (843)->GTC | Iso (281)->Val ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3 (3.2) | 2 (2.2) |  |  |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  | 71, 73, 89 | Substitution |
| AAC (1249)->AGC | Asn (416)->Ser ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 (1.1) |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | Substitution |
| CCG(1302)->ACG | Pro (434)-> Thr ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  | 79 | Substitution |
| CTG (1338)->ATG | Leu (446)->Met ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  | 63 | Substitution |
| TTC (1356)-> ${ }^{\text {CTC }}$ | Phe (452)->Leu | 7 (7.5) | 1 (1.1) | 6 (6.4) |  |  |  |  |  | 2, 11, 13, 17, 44, 53, 57 | Substitution |
| GTG (1450)->GCG | Val (483)->Ala | 1 (1.1) |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | Substitution |
| GTG (1450)->GGG | Val (483)->Gly | 1 (1.1) |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | Substitution |
| TCC (1683)->CCC | Ser (561)->Pro ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 (1.1) |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | Substitution |
| GCC (1726)->GTC | Ala (575)->Val ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 (1.1) |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | Substitution |
| GGC(2186)->GGT | Gly (728)->Gly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 (1.1) | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 | Substitution |
| GAC (2242)->GGC | Asp (747)->Gly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2 (2.2) | 1 (1.1) | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | 8, 65 | Substitution |
| ACC (2437)->ATC | Thr (812)->Iso ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 (1.1) | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | Substitution |
| CAG (2441)->CAC | Glu (813)->His ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 (1.1) |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | Substitution |
| Multi-site mutation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TCC (1683)->CCC, } \\ & \text { ATG (1745)->ATA } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ser (561)->Pro }{ }^{\text {a }} \\ & \text { Met (581)-> } \text { Iso }^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ | 1 (1.1) |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | Substitution |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCG(1302)->GTG, } \\ & \text { ACC(2403)->TCC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pro (434)->Val, } \\ & \text { Thr (801)->Sera } \end{aligned}$ | 1 (1.1) |  |  |  |  | 1 (1.1) |  |  | 80 | Substitution |
| Wild type | No change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 69 (74.2) | 14 (15.1) | 22 (23.6) | 5 (5.3) | 12 (12.9) | 3 (3.2) | 7 (7.5) | 6 (6.4) | 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, |  |

$34,35,36,37,39,40,41,42,43,45,47$, $48,49,51,52,55,56,58,59,60,61$,
$62,64,66,67,68,69,70,72,75,76$,
$77,78,81,82,83,84,85,86,87,88$,
$90,91,92,93$
Table 4. Mutations detected in the pncA gene of 89 cultured isolates.

| Nucleotide change (s) (nucleotide no.) | Translational change (codon no.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cultured } \\ \text { Isolates } \\ (n=89) \\ (99 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MDR-TB <br> Isolates $\begin{aligned} & (n=19) \\ & (21.3 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | XDR-TB <br> isolates $\begin{aligned} & (n=33) \\ & (37.0 \%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Others <br> isolates $\begin{gathered} (n=5) \\ (5.61 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pan-S <br> Isolates <br> ( $n=12$ ) <br> (13.4\%) | MDR- Plus isolates $(n=7)$ $(7.86 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DR } \\ \text { Isolates } \\ (n=7) \\ (7.86 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All S } \\ \text { isolate } \\ (n=6) \\ (6.74 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Remarks | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Substitution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAT->TGT(-11) | Tyr(-4)->Cys | 3(3.3) | 2(2.2) | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 8, 6, 90 |
| TTG->TGG(11) | Leu(4)-> Try | 1(1.1) |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 20 |
| CAG->CGG(29) | Gly(10)->Arg | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  | 1(1.1) |  |  | Substitution | 71 |
| CAG->CCG(29) | Gly(10)->Pro | 1(1.1) | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 43 |
| * CTG->CCG(104) | Leu(35)->Pro | 1(1.1) | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 4 |
| *GTG->GAG(134) | Val(44)->Met | 1(1.1) |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 78 |
| AAG->GAG(142) | Lys(48)->Glu | 1(1.1) |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 46 |
| * $\mathrm{CAC}->\mathrm{CCC}(170)$ | His(57)->Pro | 1(1.1) |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 38 |
| TCG->CCG(199) | Ser(66)->pro | 1(1.1) |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 45 |
| TGG->TCG(203) | Try(68)->Ser | 1(1.1) |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 9 |
| TGG->TAG(203) | Try(68)->Stpo | 1(1.1) |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 65 |
| *TGG->TGT(204) | Try(68)->Cys | 1(1.1) |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 64 |
| *CAT-> TAT(211) | $\operatorname{His}(70)->\mathrm{Tyr}$ | 1(1.1) |  |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 60 |
| ACT->CCT(226) | Try(76)->Pro | 1(1.1) |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 51 |
| *TTC->GTC (241) | Phe->(80)Val | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | 1(1.1) |  | Substitution | 67 |
| *CTG->CGG(254) | Leu->(87)->Arg | 1(1.1) | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 72 |
| *GGT->AGT(289) | Gly(97)->Ser | 1(1.1) | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 5 |
| *ACC->CCC(298) | Thr(99)->Pro | 1(1.1) |  | 1(1.1) |  |  |  |  |  | Substitution | 41 |
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Figure. 1. Genomic DNA sequences of rpoB encoding the $\beta$ subunit of RNA polymerase in M. tuberculosis.

|  | GAC | CAC | TTC | GGA | AAC | CGC | CGC | CTG | CGT | ACG | GTC | GGC | GAG | CAG | CTG | ATC | CAA | AAC | CAG | ATC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1395 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CGG | GTC | GGC | ATG | TCG | CGG | ATG | GAG | CGG | GTG | GTC | CGG | GAG | CGG | ATG | ACC | ACC | AGG | ACC | GTG |
| 1455 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GAG | GCG | ATC | ACA | CCG | CAG | ACG | TTG | ATC | AAC | ATC | CGG | CCG | GTG | GTC | GCC | GCG | ATC | AAG | GAG |
| 1515 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TTC | TTC | GGC | ACC | AGC | CAG | CTG | AGC | CAA | TTC | ATG | GAC | CAG | AAC | AAC | CCG | CTG | TCG | GGG | TTG |
| 1575 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ACC | CAC | AAG | CGC | CGA | CTG | TCG | GCG | CTG | GGG | CCC | GGC | GGT | CTG | TCA | CGT | GAG | CGT | GCC | GGG |
| 1635 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CTG | GAG | GTC | CGC | GAC | GTG | CAC | CCG | GCC | GGG | CTG | GAG | GTC | CGC | GAC | GTG | CAC | CCG | TCG | CAC |
| 1695 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TAC | GGC | CGG | ATG | TGC | CCG | ATC | GA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]from the start codon (ATG) of rpoB.
Figure 2. Genomic DNA sequences of rpoC in M. tuberculosis.

| 795 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATC | GAG | AAC | TTC | GAC | ATC | GAC | GCC | GAA | GCC | GAG | TCG | CTG | CGG | GAT | GTC | $\underline{\text { ATC }}$ | CGA | AAC | GGC |
| 855 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAG | GGG | CAG | AAG | AAG | CTT | CGC | GCC | CTC | AAG | CGG | CTG | AAG | GTG | GTT | GCG | GCG | TTC | CAA | CAG |
| 915 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TCG | GGC | AAC | TCG | CCG | ATG | GGC | ATG | GTG | CTC | GAC | GCC | GTC | CCG | GTG | ATC | CCG | CCG | GAG | CTG |
| 975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CGC | CCG | ATG | GTG | CTG | CTC | GAC | GGC | GGC | CGG | TTC | GCC | ACG | TCC | GAC | TTG | AAC | GAC | CTG | TAC |
| 1035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CGC | AGG | GTG | ATC | AAC | CGC | AAC | AAC | CGG | CTG | AAA | AGG | CTG | ATC | GAT | CTG | GGT | GCG | CCG | GAA |
| 1095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ATC | ATC | GTC | AAC | AAC | GAG | AAG | CGG | ATG | CTG | CAG | GAA | TCC | GTG | GAC | GCG | CTG | TTC | GAC | AAT |
| 1155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GGC | CGC | CGC | GGC | CGG | CCC | GTC | ACC | GGG | CCG | GGC | AAC | CGT | CCG | CTC | AAG | TCG | CTT | TCC | GAT |
| 1215 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CTG | CTC | AAG | GGC | AAG | CAG | GGC | CGG | TTC | CGG | CAG | A A $^{\text {C }}$ | CTG | CTC | GGC | AAG | CGT | GTC | GAC | TAC |
| 1275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TCG | GGC | CGG | TCG | GTC | ATC | GTG | GTC | GGC | CCG | CAG | CTC | AAG | CTG | CAC | CAG | TGC | GGT | CTG | CCC |
| 1335 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAG | CTG | ATG | GCG | CTG | GAG | CTG | TTC | AAG | CCG | TTC | GTG | ATG | AAG | CGG | CTG | GTG | GAC | CTC | AAC |
| 1395 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAT | GCG | CAG | AAC | ATC | AAG | AGC | GCG | AAG | CGC | ATG | GTG | GAG | CGC | CAG | CGC | CCC | CAA | GTG | TGG |
| 1455 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAT | GTG | CTC | GAA | GAG | GTC | ATC | GCC | GAG | CAC | CCG | GTG | TTG | CTG | AAC | CGC | GCA | CCC | ACC | CTG |
| 1515 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAC | CGG | TTG | GGT | ATC | CAG | GCC | TTC | GAG | CCA | ATG | CTG | GTG | GAA | GGC | AAG | GCC | ATT | CAG | CTG |
| 1575 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAC | CCG | TTG | GTG | TGT | GAG | GCG | TTC | AAT | GCC | GAC | TTC | GAC | GGT | GAC | CAG | ATG | GCC | GTG | CAC |
| 1635 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CTG | CCT | TTG | AGC | GCC | GAA | GCG | CAG | GCC | GAG | GCT | CGC | ATT | TTG | ATG | TTG | TCC | TCC | AAC | AAC |
| 1695 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ATC | CTG | TCG | CCG | GCA | TCT | GGG | CGT | CCG | TTG | GCC | ATG | CCG | CGG | CTG | GAC | ATG | GTG | ACC | GGG |
| 1755 - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CTG | TAC | TAC | CTG | ACC | ACC | GAG | GTC | CCC | GGG | GAC | ACC | GGC | GAA | TAC | CAG | CCG | GCC | AGC | GGG |
| 1815 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| GAT | CAC | CCG | GAG | ACT | GGT | GTC | TAC | TCT | TCG | CCG | GCC | GAA | GCG | ATC | ATG | GCG | GCC | GAC | CGC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GGT | GTC | TTG | AGC | GTG | CGG | GCC | AAG | ATC | AAG | GTG | CGG | CTG | ACC | CAG | CTG | CGG | CCG | CCG | GTC |
| 1935 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAG | ATC | GAG | GCC | GAG | CTA | TTC | GGC | CAC | AGC | GGC | TGG | CAG | CCG | GGC | GAT | GCG | TGG | ATG | GCC |
| 1995 ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAG | ACC | ACG | CTG | GGC | CGG | GTG | ATG | TTC | AAC | GAG | CTG | CTG | CCG | CTG | GGT | TAT | CCG | TTC | GTC |
| 2055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAC | AAG | CAG | ATG | CAC | AAG | AAG | GTG | CAG | GCC | GCC | ATC | ATC | AAC | GAC | CTG | GCC | GAG | CGT | TAC |
| 2115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCG | ATG | ATC | GTG | GTC | GCC | CAG | ACC | GTC | GAC | AAG | CTC | AAG | GAC | GCC | GGC | TTC | TAC | TGG | GCC |
| 2175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACC | GCG | AGC | GGC | GTG | ACG | GTG | TCG | ATG | GCC | GAC | GTG | CTG | GTG | CCG | CCG | CGC | AAG | AAG | GAG |
| 2235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ATC | CTC | G A $^{\text {C }}$ | CAC | TAC | GAG | GAG | CGC | GCG | GAC | AAG | GTC | GAA | AAG | CAG | TTC | CAG | CGT | GGC | GCT |
| 2295 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TTG | AAC | CAC | GAC | GAG | CGC | AAC | GAG | GCG | CTG | GTG | GAG | ATT | TGG | AAG | GAA | GCC | ACC | GAC | GAG |
| 2355 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GTC | GGT | CAG | GCG | TTG | CGG | GAG | CAC | TAC | CCC | GAC | GAC | AAC | CCG | ATC | ATC | $\underline{\text { a }}$ CC | ATC | GTC | GAC |
| 2415 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TCC | GGC | GCC | ACC | GGC | AAC | TTC | ACC | CAG | ACT | CGA | ACG | CTG | GCC | GGT | ATG | AAG | GGC | CTG | GTG |
| 2475 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACC | AAC | CCG | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3. Genomic DNA sequences of pncA encoding pyrazinamidase in M. tuberculosis.


[^2]
## 4. DISCUSSION

RIF is one of the primary first-line combination anti-tubercular agents indicated for Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and RIF resistance is a valuable surrogate marker of MDRTB. Over $90 \%$ of RIF resistance in clinical isolates of M. tuberculosis is identified with genetic alterations within the rpoB gene (Yue, et al., 2003, World Health Organization. 2015). RIF resistance is a valuable surrogate marker of drug-resistant tuberculosis, and detection of drug resistance to RIF is important in the treatment of tuberculosis (Cavusoglu, et al., 2002, Yue, et al., 2003, Yun, et al., 2005). M. tuberculosis can acquire resistance to RIF through mutations in the $r p o B$ gene, encoding the $\beta$ subunit of RNA polymerase (Cavusoglu, et al., 2002, Yue, et al., 2003, Yun, et al., 2005). The $\beta^{\prime}$ subunit of RNA polymerase is encoded by the rpoC gene. Iñaki Comas et al. suggested that the acquisition of particular mutations in rpoC in RIF-resistant M. tuberculosis strains over time leads to the emergence of MDR strains with a high fitness (Comas,et al., 2011). Moreover, de Vos M et al. showed that nonsynonymous mutations in the rpoC region are prevalent among RIF-resistant isolates in a highly-burdened setting in South Africa, and that these mutations are strongly associated with transmissions of RIF-resistant strains (de Vos, et al., 2013). Mutations of the rpoC gene have not been studied in South Korea yet, and this study investigated the patterns of rpoC mutations in drug-resistant and susceptible M. tuberculosis among patients in South Korea. Nucleotide substitutions and multiple-site mutations in rpoB were identified, and neither deletion nor insertion mutation was detected. Substitutions at codon 531 (nucleotide 1594) were the most commonly found variations ( $60.7 \%$ ), while new mutations in rpoB, which had not been previously reported, were found (Table 2). Fifteen (15) different types of mutations in rpoC were identified, and 12 of these 15 mutation variations were first reported in this study (marked in Table 2) (Comas, et al., 2011, de Vos, et al., 2013). A mutation at codon

452 was the most common transformation (7/24, 29.2\%). Mutations at codon 531, a nucleotide most frequently involved in $r p o B$ mutation, were also detected in these isolates (Table 1) (Cavusoglu, et al., 2002, Yue, et al., 2003, Yun, et al., 2005). Mutations were only found among MDR-TB strains, all of which were resistant to both INH and RIF, while no mutation was identified in the rpoC region of any drug-susceptible strains (marked in Table 1 and Table 2). Therefore, M. tuberculosis can acquire resistance to RIF through mutations in the rpoB and rpoC, suggesting that mutations of rpoB and rpoC maybe used as a marker of MDR-TB and DNA-based diagnostic confirmation for the detection of INH and RIF resistance. Nonetheless, further extensive studies with a larger collection of isolates are necessary. PZA is also one of the most effective pharmacologic agents indicated for tuberculosis. When PZA is combined with the first-line drugs of INH and RIF, it shortens the duration of anti-tubercular treatment (Gandhi, et al., 2010, Mphahlele, et al., 2008, World Health Organization, 2015). In cases of MDR- and XDRTB, where tubercular bacilli are resistance to at least both INH and RIF, PZA becomes an important treatment option (Gandhi, et al., 2010, Mphahlele, et al., 2008, World Health Organization, 2015). Thus, the detection of drug resistance to PZA is important in the treatment of tuberculosis, and is especially an urgent issue when there are tubercular resistance to INH and/or RIF (Gandhi, et al., 2010, World Health Organization, 2015). In this study, we investigated the patterns of pncA mutations of $M$. tuberculosis isolates that identified MDR- and XDR-TB strains among patients in South Korea. This study identified nucleotide substitutions, multiple-site mutations, as well as insertion and deletion (frameshift) mutations in pncA (Table 3 and Figure 3). We observed newlydeveloped mutations in the $p n c A$ gene that had not been previously reported, and also discovered that pncA mutations were more scattered and diverse than rpoB mutations (Tables 3 and Figure 3). In summary, M. tuberculosis can acquire resistance to RIF and

PZA through mutations in rpoB, rpoC and pncA, respectively (Hirano, et al., 1998, Kim et al., 1999, Kim, et al., 2012, Mphahlele, et al., 2008, Yun, et al., 2005, Scorpio, et al., 1996). Explicitly, mutations of rpoB, rpoC and pncA in M. tuberculosis are important mechanisms of RIF and PZA resistance. There is a strong correlation between mutations of rpoB, rpoC and pncA and RIF and PZA resistance to M. tuberculosis among drugresistant isolates especially with MDR- and XDR-TB strains among patients in South Korea (Hirano, et al., 1998, Kim, et al., 2012, Kim et al., 1999, Mphahlele, et al., 2008, Yun, et al., 2005,). Therefore, the detection of rpoB, rpoC and pncA mutations, which complement the results of in vitro DST and DNA-based diagnosis of RIF and PZA resistance, would be a promising approach for the speedy detection of drug resistance (Kim, et al., 2012, Kim et al., 1999, Mphahlele, et al., 2008).
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## 6. ABSTRACT IN KOREAN

Rifampicin (RFP)은 결핵치료에 있어서 1 차 항결핵제로 많이 사용되는 약제이다. Pyrazinamide (PZA)은 INH 와 RFP 에 대해서 내성을 가지는 다제약제내성결핵균 (MDR-TB)에 효과적인 항결핵제이다. 본연구에서는 한국인 환자에서 분리된 93 주의 결핵균 (MDR-TB 포함)에 대해서 RFP 와 PZA 내성과 관련이 있는 유전자인 rpoB, rpoC 그리고 pncA 의 유전자 분석을 통하여 이들 유전자에서의 돌연변이 (mutation)와 이들 약제내성과의 관련성에 대해서 연구하였다. 93 개의 임상분리균주는 실험실에서 성공적으로 배양이 되었으며 약제내성검사 (drug susceptibility testing, DST)를 통하여 $\mathrm{INH}, \mathrm{PZA}, \mathrm{RFP}$ 를 포함한 항결핵제에 대한 내성 및 감수성을 확인하였다. 확인결과 75 개 분리주가 항결핵제에 대해서 내성을 갖는 것으로 확인되었다. 이중 20 주는 MDR-TB, 7 주는 MDR-Plus, 36 주는 XDR-TB 그리고 12 주는 $\mathrm{DR}-\mathrm{TB}$ 로 확인이 되었으며 66 주에서 RFP 내성, 40 주는 PZA 에 대해서 내성 그리고 39 주는 RFP 와 PZA 에 대해서 내성을 가지는 것으로 확인되었으며 18 주는 pan S 로 확인되었다. 56 주에서 $r p o B$ 유전자 에서의 substitutions 또는 multiple-site mutations 이 확인되었으며 (56/80, 70.0\%) 이중 51 주가 RFP 에 대해서 내성을 가지는 것으로 확인되었으며 (51/56, 91.1\%), 9 개의 다른 변이가 확인되었다. 24 주에서 $r p o C$ 에서 15 개의 다른 변이가 확인되었으며 (24/93, 25.8\%), 24 주 모두 INH 와 RFP 에 대해서 내성을 가지며 MDR-TB 에서 $37.0 \%$ (10/27), XDR-TB 에서 $38.9 \%$ (14/36)에서 내성을 가지는 것을 확인했다. Single nucleotide 의 substitutions (22/24, 91.7\%) 또는 multiple-site 의 substitutions (2/24, 8.3\%)이 확인되었으며 deletion 이나 insertion 은 확인되지

않았으며, 항결핵제에 대해서 감수성인 균주는 rpoC 에서 변이가 확인되지 않았다. $p n c A$ 유전자의 경우는 46 주에서 다양한 변이가 확인되었으며 (nucleotide substitutions, deletions, insertion 그리고 multiple-site mutations) 25 개의 다른 변이가 확인이 되었다. Single nucleotide 의 substitution 이 가장 많았으며 (27/46, 58.7\%), 그다음으로는 multiple-site mutation (4/46, 8.7\%) 그리고 insertion (4/46, 8.7\%) 순으로 많았다. 다양한 부위에서 insertion 또는 single 또는 multiple nucleotides 에서 deletion 에 의한 frameshifts 가 있었다 ( $7 / 46,15.2 \%$ ). 본 연구를 통해서 결핵균 ( $M$. tuberculosis)의 rpoB, rpoC 그리고 pncA 유전자의 변이는 RFP 와 PZA 내성과 연관이 있는 것으로 확인되었으며 rpoB, rpoC 그리고 $p n c A$ 유전자의 변이를 확인하는 것은 RFP 와 PZA 약제에 대해서 내성을 가지는 다제약제내성결핵균을 신속분자진단법 개발에 중요한 자료로 사용이 될 것으로 생각된다.
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[^0]:    $\mathrm{CPM}=$ capreomycin; $\mathrm{EMB}=$ ethambutol; $\mathrm{INH}=$ isoniazid; $\mathrm{KM}=$ kanamycin; $\mathrm{MFX}=$ moxifloxacin; $\mathrm{OFX}=$ ofloxacin; $\mathrm{SM}=$ streptomycin; $\mathrm{PZA}=$ pyrazinamide; RFP $=$
    rifampicin; MDR $=$ multidrug-resistant; MDR plus $=I N G+R F P+F Q$ or $I N H+$ RFP + Inj. $\mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{XDR}=$ extensively drug-resistant; $\mathrm{DR}=$ resistant to any drug, but not MDR or
    XDR; others = any drug resistance(s) other than MDR or XDR-TB; Pan-S = pan-susceptible.Bold numbers indicate isolates with mutations in rpoC and resistance to both INH and RFP.

[^1]:    Mutations spot in 80 isolates were marked (bold), and the underlined sequence has not been previously reported. Nucleotides were numbered

[^2]:    from the start codon (ATG) of pncA.

