

# On the $w^d$ -spaces

Chulsoon Han

$w^d$ -공간에 관한 연구

한 철 순

## Summary

Given a definition of the  $w^d$ -space, we have proved what a  $w^d$ -space is, and a metrization of the  $w$ -space with some conditions.

Furthermore, we will have an open problem:

Is a collectionwise normal  $w$ -space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal metrizable?

## Introduction

In this paper, we will show the following.

- (a) What space is a  $w^d$ -space?
- (b) What is the property of a  $w^d$ -space with some space?
- (c) What  $w^d$ -space is metrizable?

To do this we will introduce the following propositions.

propositions:

- (1) Every collectionwise normal Moore space is metrizable.
- (2) A  $T_2$ -space  $X$  is paracompact iff  $X$  is subparacompact and collectionwise normal.
- (3) A compact  $T_2$ -space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal is metrizable.
- (4) If  $X$  is a  $T_2$ -space, then  $X$  is compact iff  $X$  is countably compact and subparacompact.
- (5) Every developable space is subparacompact.
- (6) A regular subparacompact space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal has a  $G_\delta^*$ -diagonal.

## Main Theorems

### Definition

A space  $X$  is a  $w^d$ -space iff there exists a sequence

$G_1, G_2, \dots$  of open covers of  $X$  such that if  $x \in X$  and  $x_n \in \text{st}(x, G_n)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , then the sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  has a cluster point.

It follows from Definition that developable spaces and countably compact spaces are  $w^d$ -spaces.

### Theorem 1

A locally compact subparacompact space is a  $w^d$ -space.

Proof: Let  $X$  be a locally compact subparacompact space. Then for each  $x \in X$ , there exists an open set  $O_x$  such that  $x \in O_x$  and  $\bar{O}_x$  is compact. So,  $\{O_x : x \in X\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ .

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be open covers of  $X$  such that  $A_1 = \{O_x : x \in X\}$  and for each  $n$ ,  $A_{n+1}$  refines  $A_n$  and given  $n$  and  $x$ , there exists  $m$  such that  $\text{st}(x, A_m) \subset V_n \in A_n$ .

Let  $x \in X$  and  $O_j \in A_1$  such that  $O_j \supset \text{st}(x, A_m) \supset \text{st}(x, A_{m+1}) \dots$ . Let  $x_n \in \text{st}(x, A_n)$ .

Then  $x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots \rightarrow O_j$  and hence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  has a cluster point. Therefore,  $X$  is a  $w^d$ -space.

### Theorem 2

Every  $w^d$ -space with a  $G_\delta^*$ -diagonal is developable.

Proof: Let  $X$  be a  $w^d$ -space with a  $G_s^*$ -diagonal. Then there exists  $G_1, G_2, \dots$ , open covers of  $X$  such if  $x \in X$  and for each  $n$ ,  $x_n \in \text{st}(x, G_n)$  then the sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  has a cluster point. Furthermore, there exists a sequence  $H_1, H_2, \dots$  open covers of  $X$  such that  $x \neq y \in X$ , then there exists  $n$  such that

$$y \notin \overline{\text{st}(x, H_n)}.$$

Let  $K_1 = \{g_1 \cap h_1 : g_1 \in G_1, h_1 \in H_1\}$  and for each  $n \geq 2$ , let  $K_{n+1} = \{g_{n+1} \cap h_{n+1} \cap k_n : g_{n+1} \in G_{n+1} \in H_{n+1}, k_n \in K_n\}$ .

Then  $K_1, K_2, \dots$  is a sequence of open covers of  $X$ .

Let  $x \in X$  and  $U$  an open set of  $x$ .

Suppose for each  $n$ ,  $\text{st}(x, K_n) - U \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $x_n \in \text{st}(x, K_n) - U$  for each  $n$ . Then  $x_n \in \text{st}(x, G_n)$  for each  $n$ .

Let  $y$  be a cluster point of the sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  and  $y \neq x$ .

Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $y \in \text{st}(x, H_n)$ .

So,  $y \notin \overline{\text{st}(x, K_n)}$ . On the other hand,  $y \in X - \overline{\text{st}(x, K_n)}$  and  $X - \overline{\text{st}(x, K_n)}$  is open.

Since  $\text{st}(x, K_n) \cap (X - \overline{\text{st}(x, K_n)}) = \emptyset$ , we have a contradiction.

Hence,  $\text{st}(x, K_n) \subset U$ . Therefore,  $X$  is developable.

### Theorem 3

A regular countably compact space with a  $G_s^*$ -diagonal is metrizable.

Proof: Let  $X$  be a regular countably compact space with a  $G_s^*$ -diagonal.

Since  $X$  is countably compact, then  $X$  is a  $w^d$ -space and so by Theorem 2,  $X$  is developable.

From proposition (5),  $X$  is subparacompact.

From proposition (5),  $X$  is compact. Since  $X$  has a  $G_s^*$ -diagonal, then  $X$  has a  $G_s$ -diagonal.

From proposition (3),  $X$  is metrizable.

### Theorem 4

The following are equivalent for a regular

space  $X$ .

(1)  $X$  is a Moore space.

(2)  $X$  is a  $w^d$ -space with a  $G_s^*$ -diagonal.

(3)  $X$  is a subparacompact  $w^d$ -space with a  $G_s$ -diagonal.

Proof: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)

Let  $G_1, G_2, \dots$ , be a sequence of open covers of  $X$  such that if  $x \in U$  open, then there exists  $n$  such  $\text{st}(x, G_n) \subset U$ .

Suppose  $x \neq y$ .

Since  $X$  is regular, there exists an open  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subset X - \{y\}$  and there exists  $n$  such that  $\text{st}(x, G_n) \subset U$

So,  $y \notin \overline{\text{st}(x, G_n)}$ .

Hence  $X$  has a  $G_s^*$ -diagonal.

For each  $n$ , let  $x_n \in \text{st}(x, G_n)$ .

Since  $\text{st}(x, G_n)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  is a base at  $x$ , then  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  has  $x$  as a cluster point.

Therefore,  $X$  is a  $w^d$ -space.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)

From Theorem (2),  $X$  is developable and so  $X$  is subparacompact by proposition (5).

Since  $X$  has a  $G_s^*$ -diagonal, then  $X$  has a  $G_s$ -diagonal.

Therefore,  $X$  is a subparacompact  $w^d$ -space with a  $G_s$ -diagonal.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)

From Proposition (6),  $X$  has a  $G_s^*$ -diagonal.

Hence,  $X$  is developable from Theorem 2.

Therefore,  $X$  is a Moore space.

### Theorem 5

A  $T_2$ -space  $X$  is metrizable iff  $X$  is a paracompact  $w^d$ -space with a  $G_s$ -diagonal.

Proof: Suppose  $X$  is metrizable.

Then  $X$  is paracompact and so by Proposition (2),  $X$  is subparacompact and collectionwise normal. Furthermore,  $X$  is normal and regular.

Since  $X$  is metrizable, then  $X$  is a Moore space.

From Theorem 4,  $X$  is a subparacompact  $w^d$ -space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

But then  $X$  is paracompact.

Therefore  $X$  is a paracompact  $w^d$ -space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

For the converse, suppose  $X$  is a paracompact  $w^d$ -space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

From Proposition (2) and Theorem 4,  $X$  is subparacompact and collectionwise normal, and  $X$  is a Moore space.

From proposition (1),  $X$  is metrizable.

### Conclusion.

From Definition, if we required  $x$  to be a

cluster point of the sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , then  $\{(x, G_x)\}$  would be a base at  $x$  and we would have defined a developable space.

This paper ends by giving an open problem.

Is a collectionwise normal  $w^d$ -space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal metrizable?

### Literatures Cited

1. J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon CO. 1966
2. J. L. Kelley, General Topology, Van Nostrand, New York, 1965
3. Taylor, A note on metrization of Moore spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. 1963

<초 록>

### $w^d$ -공간에 관한 연구

한 철 순

도입과정에서 주어진 명제의  $w^d$ -space의 정의를 가지고  $w^d$ -space가 될 수 있는 공간, 그리고 어떤조건을 주어서 이의 거리화 문제를 증명하였다. 그러나 어떤 조건을 가진  $w^d$ -space는 거리화가 될 수 있는지의 미해결문제를 결론에서 제시함으로 이 논문을 끝내고자 한다.