

# Comparisons of the Determinant of a Fuzzy matrix by t and s norms

Chul Soo Kim

Department of Computer Science and Statistics Cheju National University,  
Cheju 690-756

## Abstract

In this article we compare the determinants of a fuzzy matrix over the interval  $[0,1]$  when it is endowed with the algebraic structure arising via various triangular t norms and their corresponding s norms.

## 1. Introduction

There are some papers on the determinant theory of fuzzy matrices algebraic structures.

A fair amount of effort has been directed towards discovering various properties of matrices when the underlying algebraic structure is a semiring.

A rich determinantal theory is available for matrices over semiring (See Kim[1])

The adjoint of a square fuzzy matrix is defined by Regab and Emam[2].

In this paper we compare the determinants of a fuzzy matrix over the interval  $[0,1]$  when it is endowed with the algebraic structure arising via various triangular t norms and s

norms

## 2. Triangular norms and s norms

It is well known that triangular norms ( t norm) and s norms are used very often in fuzzy set theory

Triangular norms ( t norms) are used to define the intersection of fuzzy sets and theory. Triangular norms ( t norms) are used to define the intersection of fuzzy sets and the conjunction of fuzzy statements. s norms are used to define the union of fuzzy sets and the disjunction of fuzzy statements.

Triangular norms and s norms are binary operations on the interval  $[0,1]$  that satisfy certain conditions.

An important review of fuzzy

connectives, aggregation operators and t norms and s norms is given in the paper by Dubois and Prade[3].

**Definition 2.1** A binary operation  $\otimes : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called t norm if for  $x, y, z \in [0,1]$

(2.1a)  $x \otimes y = y \otimes x$

(2.1b)  $x \otimes (y \otimes z) = (x \otimes y) \otimes z$

(2.1c)  $x \otimes y \leq x \otimes z$  if  $y \leq z$

(2.1d)  $x \otimes 1 = x$ .

**Definition 2.2** A binary operation  $\oplus : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is s norm if for all  $x, y, z \in [0,1]$

(2.2a)  $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$

(2.2b)  $x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$

(2.2c)  $x \oplus y \leq x \oplus z$  if  $y \leq z$

(2.2d)  $x \oplus 0 = x$ .

In the sequel  $\otimes$  shall always denote a t norm and  $\oplus$  shall denote a s norm.

Here are some examples of t norm and s norms.

1.  $(\otimes, \oplus) = (t_0, s_0)$

$$x \otimes y = \begin{cases} \min(x, y) & \text{if } \max(x, y) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$x \oplus y = \begin{cases} \max(x, y) & \text{if } \min(x, y) = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2.  $(\otimes, \oplus) = (t_1, s_1)$

$$x \otimes y = \max(0, x + y - 1)$$

$$x \oplus y = \min(1, x + y)$$

3.  $(\otimes, \oplus) = (t_2, s_2)$

$$x \otimes y = xy$$

$$x \oplus y = x + y - xy$$

4.  $(\otimes, \oplus) = (t_3, s_3)$

$$x \otimes y = \frac{xy}{x + y - xy}$$

$$x \oplus y = \frac{x + y - 2xy}{1 - xy}$$

5.  $(\otimes, \oplus) = (t_5, s_5)$

$$x \otimes y = \min(x, y)$$

$$x \oplus y = \max(x, y)$$

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $\otimes$  be a t norm and  $\oplus$  be a s norm Then

(2.3a)  $x \oplus y \geq x \vee y$  for every  $x, y$  where  $x \vee y = \max(x, y)$

(2.3b)  $x \oplus y \leq x \wedge y$  for every  $x, y$  where  $x \wedge y = \min(x, y)$

**Definition 2.3** A pair, consisting of a t-norm and s-norm are said to be dual or associated if

$$x \otimes y = 1 - ((1-x) \otimes (1-y)) \quad \forall x, y \in [0,1]$$

or

$$x \otimes y = 1 - ((1-x) \oplus (1-y)) \quad \forall x, y \in [0,1]$$

We then also say s norm  $\oplus$  is associated to t norm  $\otimes$  or that  $\otimes$  is associated to  $\oplus$ .

Associated t norms and s norms are also called conjugate in the literature.

**Remark 1.** The smallest t norm and its corresponding s norm are as follows :

$$x \otimes y = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } y = 1 \\ y & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$x \oplus y = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } y = 0 \\ y & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Remark 2. The largest t norm is

$$x \otimes y = \min(x, y).$$

And also the smallest s norm is

$$x \oplus y = \max(x, y).$$

Definition 2.4 A t norm  $\otimes$  is called Archimedean if it is continuous and satisfies  $x \otimes x < x$  whenever  $0 < x < 1$ .

Definition 2.5 A t norm  $\otimes$  is called Archimedean if it is continuous and satisfies  $x \otimes y < x \otimes z$  whenever  $x > 0$  and  $y < z$ .

From the definition of a t norm  $\otimes$  the following property is derived immediately :

$$x \otimes 0 = 0$$

More over each pair  $(\otimes, \oplus)$  of mutually corresponding t-norms and s-norms satisfies the following equation

$$(x \otimes y) + (x \oplus y) = x + y.$$

Since a t norm  $\otimes$  and its corresponding s-norm  $\oplus$  are binary operation on  $[0, 1]$ , their associativity allows then to be extended to n-any operations

$$x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

and

$$x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1].$$

In that follows we shall write  $T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $S(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  instead of  $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n$  and  $x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n$ , respectively.

The extension to an infinitely operation is also possible. For each sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $[0, 1]$ , the sequence

$(T(x_1, \dots, x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is nonincreasing.

Therefore its limit

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

always exists.

Proposition 2.2. Let  $\otimes$  be an Archimedean t-norm and let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a constant sequence in  $[0, 1]$ , i.e.  $x_n = a$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

Proof. Assume that  $a \neq 0$

Consider the function  $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by  $h(x) = x \otimes x$  putting  $h^1 = h$ ,  $h^{n+1} = h \otimes h^n$ .

we have for every  $x \in (0, 1)$   $h(x) < x$ ,  $h^{n+1}(x) \leq h^n(x)$  by Archimedean property.

Then for  $b = \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} h^n(a)$  we get

$$h(b) = h(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h^n(a)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{n+1}(a) = b$$

which implies  $b = 0$

Since the sequence  $(h^n(a))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of the convergent sequence,  $(T(x_1, \dots, x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the result follows.

### 3. Determinant of a fuzzy matrix

Definition 3.1 For fuzzy matrices  $A = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ ,  $B = [b_{ij}]_{n \times p}$  and  $C = [c_{ij}]_{n \times p}$  the following operations are defined

(3.1a)  $B + C = [b_{ij} \oplus c_{ij}]$  where  $\oplus$  is a s norm,

(3.1b)  $AB = [\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes b_{kj}]$  where  $\otimes$  is a t norm,

(3.1c)  $A' = [a_{ji}]$  ( the transpose of A).

(3.1d)  $A_k = [a_{ij}^k]$ ,  $A^{k+1} = A^k \otimes A$ ,

(3.1e)  $A^0 = I_n$  where  $I_n$  is the usual identity matrix.

**Definition 3.2** Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over the interval  $[0,1]$ .  $\otimes$  be a t norm and  $\oplus$  be a s norm. The determinant of an  $n \times n$  fuzzy matrix A with respect to  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$  is defined as follows :

$$|A| = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} \otimes a_{2\sigma(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

where  $S_n$  denotes the symmetric group of all permutations of indices  $(1,2,\dots,n)$ .

**Proposition 3.1** If a fuzzy matrix  $B$  is obtained from an  $n \times n$  fuzzy  $A$  by multiplying the  $i$ th row of  $A$  by  $k \in (0,1]$ , then  $k|A| = B$ .

*Proof.* By definition

$$\begin{aligned} |B| &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} b_{1\sigma(1)} \otimes b_{2\sigma(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes b_{n\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes ka_{i\sigma(i)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n\sigma(n)} \\ &= k \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i\sigma(i)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n\sigma(n)} \\ &= k|A| \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  fuzzy matrix.

If  $A$  contains a zero row(column) , then  $|A| = 0$

**Proposition 3.3** For any square fuzzy

matrix  $A$ . Let  $A_{i,j}$  be the determinant of the submatrix obtained by deleting row  $i$  and column  $j$  of  $A$ .

Then for any  $i$  and  $j$

$$|A| = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes A_{ik} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{kj} \otimes A_{kj}$$

**Example 1.** For a fuzzy matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.8 \\ 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.9 \\ 0.0 & 0.7 & 0.4 \end{bmatrix}$$

we calculate the determinant  $|A|$  using t norm  $\otimes$  and s norm  $\oplus$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= 0.5 \otimes \begin{vmatrix} 0.2 & 0.9 \\ 0.7 & 0.4 \end{vmatrix} \\ &\oplus 0.3 \otimes \begin{vmatrix} 0.6 & 0.9 \\ 0.0 & 0.4 \end{vmatrix} \\ &\oplus 0.8 \otimes \begin{vmatrix} 0.6 & 0.2 \\ 0.0 & 0.7 \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

1) For  $x \otimes y = \min(x,y)$  and  $x \oplus y = \max(x,y)$

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= 0.5 \otimes (0.2 \oplus 0.7) \oplus 0.3 \otimes (0.4 \oplus 0.0) \\ &\oplus 0.8 \otimes (0.6 \oplus 0.0) \\ &= 0.5 \otimes 0.7 \oplus 0.3 \otimes 0.4 \oplus 0.8 \otimes 0.6 \\ &= 0.5 \oplus 0.3 \oplus 0.6 = 0.6 \end{aligned}$$

2) For  $x \otimes y = xy$  and  $x \oplus y = x + y - xy$

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= 0.5 \otimes (0.2 + 0.7 - 0.2 \times 0.7) \oplus 0.3 \otimes \\ &(0.4 + 0.0 - 0.0) \oplus 0.8 \otimes (0.6 + 0.0 \\ &- 0.0) \\ &= 0.5 \otimes (0.9 - 0.14) \oplus 0.3 \otimes 0.4 \oplus 0.8 \\ &\otimes 0.6 \\ &= 0.38 \oplus 0.12 \oplus 0.48 = 0.716288 \end{aligned}$$

3) For  $x \otimes y = \max(0, x + y - 1)$   
 $x \oplus y = \min(1, x + y)$   
 $|A| = 0.5 \otimes 0.6 \oplus 0.3 \otimes 0.0 \oplus 0.8$   
 $\otimes 0.3$   
 $= 0.1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0.1 = 0.1 + 0.1 = 0.2$

4) For  $x \otimes y = \frac{xy}{x+y-xy}$   
 $x \oplus y = \frac{x+y-2xy}{1-xy}$   
 $|A| = (0.5 \otimes 0.67) \oplus (0.3 \otimes 0.316)$   
 $\oplus (0.8 \otimes 0.477)$   
 $= 0.401 \oplus 0.182 \oplus 0.426$   
 $= 0.62$

### References

- [1]. J.B.Kim, A. Baartmans and N.S.Sahadin, Determinant theory for fuzzy matrices, Fuzzy sets and systems 29 (1989) 349-356
- [2]. M.Z Ragab and E.G.Emarm, The determinant and adjoint of a square fuzzy matrix, Fuzzy sets and systems 61 (1994) 297-307
- [3]. D. Dubois and H. Prade, A review of fuzzy set aggregation connectives, Inform. Sci. 36 (1985) 85-121
- [4]. J.C Fodor and T. Kereztfalvi, A characterization of the Hamachar family of t-norms, Fuzzy sets and systems 65 (1994) 51-58
- [5]. Rohan Hemasinha, Nikhil R.Pal and James C. Bezdak, the determinant of a fuzzy matrix with respect to t and co-t norms, Fuzzy Sets and systems 87(1997) 297-306