

# Filteration 位相空間에서의 거리화와 완비성에 관하여

유 근 식 · 현 진 오

## On the Metrization and Completion for the Filtration Topology

Kun-Sik Ryu, Jin-Oh Hyun

### Summary

In this paper, we treat to the problem of metrization for filtration topology and find to the condition for the completion of the filtration topology.

### Preliminary

One of the classical problems of topology involves finding condition on a topological space  $(E, \underline{E})$  such that one can define a metric  $d$  on  $E \times E$  such that the metric  $\underline{E}_d$  induced on  $E$  by  $d$  identically  $\underline{E}$ .

In this paper, we treat to the problem of metrization for the filterization for the completion of the filterization topology.

In this section, we establish basic terminology and recall certain known results relevant to our discussion. Let  $N$  be a set of all natural numbers and  $R$  is a ring. Let  $E$  be a left  $R$ -module.

**DEFINITION (1.1)** A family  $\{E_n | n \in N\}$  is called the filtration on  $E$ , if  $E_n$ 's are submodule of  $E$  such that  $E_n \supseteq E_{n+1}$  for  $n \in N$ , that is, a filtration on  $E$  is a decreasing sequence of submodules of  $E$ .

Using the above definition, we have

**PROPOSITION (1.2)** Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a given filtration on  $E$ ,  $E$  is a set of subset  $V$  of  $E$  where for  $v \in V$  there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $v + E_{n_0}$  is a subset of  $V$ . Here  $\underline{E}$  is called the filtration topology.

The following consequence of the above proposition reflects a basic properties of the filtration topology.

**PROPOSITION (1.3)** In the above proposition,  $\{v + E_n | n \in N, v \in E\}$  form a base for the filtration topology  $(E, \underline{E})$ .

**COROLLARY (1.4)** The filtration topology is a first countable space.

**PROPOSITION (1.5)** Let  $K$  be a submodule of  $E$ . Then  $E$  is open in  $\underline{E}$  if and only if  $E_n \subset K$  for some  $n \in N$ . Furthermore, if a submodule is open then it is also closed.

**COROLLARY (1.6)** In the above proposition (1.5), each of the submodules  $E_n$  are both open and closed in  $\underline{E}$ .

Here, we introduce the important property of the filtration topology.

**PROPOSITION (1.7)** Let  $E$  be a left  $R$ -module with filtration  $\{E_n\}$ . Then  $\underline{E}$  is a Hausdorff space if and only if  $\bigcap_{n \in N} E_n = 0$ .

**DEFINITION (1.8)** Let  $E$  be a left  $R$ -module with the filtration  $E_n$ . A sequence  $\langle p_n \rangle$  in  $E$  is said to converge if there is a  $p \in E$  with the following property: For each natural number  $k$ , there is a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $n > n_0$  implies that  $p - p_n \in E_k$ .

And we write  $\langle p_n \rangle \rightarrow p$ . A sequence  $\langle p_n \rangle$  in  $E$  is called a Cauchy sequence if given any natural number  $k$ , there is a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $m, n > n_0$  implies that  $p_m - p_n \in E_k$ .

**PROPOSITION (1.9)** If a sequence  $\langle p_n \rangle$  of  $E$  be a convergent sequence, then it is also a Cauchy sequence.

Let  $Z$  be the set of all integers. Then  $Z$  is a left  $Z$ -module. Let  $\langle p_n \rangle$  be the sequence of all prime numbers such that  $p_i > p_j$  for  $i > j$ ,  $Z_n = (\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)Z$  and  $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ . Then  $Z_n$  is a submodule of  $E$  and  $E_n \supseteq E_{n+1}$  for  $n \in N$ . Moreover, a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence but not convergent sequence. Hence, the converse to the above proposition is not true.

**DEFINITION (1.10)** A left  $R$ -module  $E$  with the filtration  $\{E_n\}$  is said to complete if  $E$  is a Hausdorff space and every Cauchy sequence converges to some element of  $E$ .

### Metrization for the Filtration Topology

In this section, we find that the filtration topology is a pseudometric space and that the filtration topology is a metric space if it is a Hausdorff space.

**DEFINITION (2.1)** Let  $E$  be a left  $R$ -module with the filtration  $\{E_n\}$ . For each non-empty finite subset  $H$  of  $N$ , we defined the subspace  $E_H$  of  $E$  by  $E_H = \sum_{n \in N} E_n$  and the real number  $p_H = \sum_{n \in N} 2^{-n}$ . Here, for  $n \in N$ ,  $n < H$  means that  $n < k$  for all  $k \in N$ .

By the above definition, we have directly following lemmas.

**LEMMA (2.2)** Let  $H$  be a non-empty finite subset of  $N$  and  $n \in N$ . If  $p_H < 2^{-n}$  then  $n < H$ , then  $E_H \subset E_n$ .

**LEMMA (2.3)** Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be non-empty finite subset of  $N$  with  $p_{K_1} + p_{K_2} < 1$ . Then there exists a non-empty finite subset  $K$  of  $N$  such that  $p_{K_1} + p_{K_2} = p_K$ .

Using the above lemma, we have

**THEOREM (2.4)** Let  $E$  be a left  $R$ -module with a filtration  $E_n$ . Then a filtration topology  $\underline{E}$  is a pseudo-metric space.

**PROOF.** We define the real-valued function  $d: E \times E \rightarrow R$  by  $d(x, y) = 1$  if  $x - y$  is not contained in any  $E_H$  and by  $d(x, y) = \inf_H \{ p_H \mid x - y \in E_H \}$  otherwise. Let  $x, y, z \in E$ . Then since  $x - y \in E_n$  implies  $y - x \in E_n$ ,  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  and by the definition,  $d(x, y) \geq 0$  is obvious. Now, we must be show that  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ . If  $d(x, y) + d(y, z) \geq 1$  then the given inequality is trivial. Hence suppose that  $d(x, y) + d(y, z) < 1$ . Then there exists a positive real number  $\epsilon$  such that  $d(x, y) + d(y, z) + 2\epsilon < 1$ . By the definition, there exists non-empty finite subsets  $K_1, K_2$  of  $N$  such that  $x - y \in E_{K_1}$ ,  $y - z \in E_{K_2}$  and  $p_{K_1} < d(x, y) + \epsilon$ ,  $p_{K_2} < d(y, z) + \epsilon$ . Since  $p_{K_1} + p_{K_2} < 1$ , by the lemma (2.3), there exists a unique finite subset  $K$  of  $N$  for which  $p_K = p_{K_1} + p_{K_2}$ . And since  $E_{n+1} + E_{n+j} \subset E_n$  for  $n, i, j \in N$ , by the lemma (2.2),  $E_{K_1} + E_{K_2} \subset E_K$ . It follows that  $x - z = (x - y) + (y - z) \in E_K$  and hence  $d(x, z) \leq p_K = p_{K_1} + p_{K_2} < d(x, y) + d(y, z) + 2\epsilon < 1$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ . This completes the proof.

Let us write  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $d(x, y) = 0$ . It is clear that this is an equivalence relation in  $E$  which partitions  $E$  into equivalence classes. moreover,  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $0 = \inf_H \{ p_H \mid x - y \in E_H \}$  if and only if  $x - y \in E_n$  for all  $n \in N$  if and only if  $x - y \in \bigcap_{n \in N} E_n$ . Since  $\bigcap_{n \in N} E_n$  is a submodule of  $E$ , we obtain a quotient module  $E / \bigcap_{n \in N} E_n$ . Moreover,

we have  $E / \bigcap_{n \in N} E_n = E / \sim$ . Here, if  $a = x + \bigcap_{n \in N} E_n, b = y + \bigcap_{n \in N} E_n$ , define  $d^*(a, b) = d(x, y)$ , then  $(E / \bigcap_{n \in N} E_n, d^*)$  is a metric space.

**THEOREM (2.5)** Let  $E$  be a left  $R$ -module with a filtration  $E_n$ . If  $\underline{E}$  is a Hausdorff space.

**PROOF.** Since  $\underline{E}$  is a pseudo-metric space, we must show that for  $x, y \in E$ ,  $d(x, y) = 0$  implies  $x = y$ . Hence suppose that  $d(x, y) = 0$ . Then  $0 = \inf_H \{ p_H \mid x - y \in E_H \}$  which implies that for  $2^{-n}$ , there exists a non-empty finite subset  $H$  of  $N$  such that  $p_H < 2^{-n}$ . By the lemma (2.2),  $n < H$  and  $x - y \in E_H \subset E_n$ . Hence for  $n \in N$ ,  $x - y \in E_n$ , that is  $x - y \in \bigcap_{n \in N} E_n$ . Since  $\bigcap_{n \in N} E_n = 0$ ,  $x = y$  which implies that  $d$  is a metric on  $E$ .

### Compactness, Completion and Quotientness

In this section, we find to the some properties of the compactness, completion and quotientness for the filtration topology.

**DEFINITION (3.1).** Let  $\underline{E}$  be a filtration topology. Associate to each a  $E$  and to each non-zero element  $r$  of  $R$  the translation  $T_a$  and the multiplication operator  $M_r$ , by the formulas  $T_a(x) = a + x$ ,  $M_r(x) = rx$  ( $x \in E$ ).

A useful property of the filtration topology is as follows:

**THEOREM (3.2).** Let  $\underline{E}$  be a filtration topology. Then  $T_a$  is a homeomorphism and  $M_r$  a continuous function. In particular, if  $r$  is invertible, then  $M_r$  is a homeomorphism.

**PROOF.** The  $R$ -module axioms implies that  $T_a$  is bijective and  $(T_a)^{-1} = T_{-a}$ . Let  $V$  be open with  $a + x \in V$ . If  $y \in (T_a)^{-1}(V)$  then  $y \in V - a$ , i.e.  $y + a \in V$ . Hence there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $(y + a) + E_{n_0} \subset V$ . Then  $y + E_{n_0} \subset (T_a)^{-1}(V)$  which implies that  $(T_a)^{-1}(V)$  is open. Therefore  $T_a$  is a continuous function. Similarly, we have  $(T_a)^{-1}(V)$  is continuous. This prove that  $T_a$  is a homeomorphism. Now, let  $W$  be open with  $rx \in W$ . If  $y \in (M_r)^{-1}(W)$  then  $ry \in W$ . Hence there exists  $n_1 \in N$  such that  $ry + E_{n_1} \subset W$ . Here,  $y + E_{n_1}$  is open and  $y \in y + E_{n_1}$ . Since  $M_r(y + E_{n_1}) = ry + E_{n_1} \subset W$ ,  $y + E_{n_1} \subset (M_r)^{-1}(W)$  which implies that  $(M_r)^{-1}(W)$  is open. Therefore,  $M_r$  is continuous. Suppose that  $r$  is invertible. Then  $M_r$  is bijective and  $(M_r)^{-1} = M_{r^{-1}}$ . Hence,  $M_r$  is a homeomorphism.

In the above results, we have easily following property.

**COROLLARY (3.3)** In the filtration topology, the module operations are continuous.

**LEMMA (3.4)** Let  $\underline{E}$  be a filtration topology and  $A$  open