

# Some Properties of $\beta$ -irresolute Maps on Nearly Open Sets

Song Seok-Zun, Kim Do-Hyun

$\beta$  - irresolute寫像에 관한 考察

宋錫準 · 金道鉉

## Summary

In this paper, we define a  $\beta$ -irresolute map and obtain its characterizations and some properties of the maps on nearly open sets. Moreover, we define a  $\beta$ -Hausdorff space and have its some topological properties and its characterizations.

## I. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $T$  be a topology on a set  $X$ , and let " $C1$ " and " $Int$ " denote closure and interior with respect to  $T$ . In 1965, O. Njastad introduced the concepts of nearly open sets as follows; a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, T)$  is an  $\alpha$ -set or  $\beta$ -set if  $ACInt(CI(Int(A)))$  or  $ACCI(Int(A))$  respectively. We denote the class of all  $\alpha$ -sets or  $\beta$ -sets  $\alpha(X)$  or  $\beta(X)$  respectively. He studied some properties of topological structure using these nearly open sets, and showed that  $\alpha(X)$  is a topology but  $\beta(X)$  is not a topology and  $TC\alpha(X) \subset \beta(X)$ . And Maheshwari and Thakur developed these theory in 1980. They introduced the concept of  $\alpha$ -irresolute map as follows; a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -irresolute if the inverse image of every  $\alpha$ -set in  $Y$  is an  $\alpha$ -set in  $X$ . And they studied some properties of  $\beta$ -irresolute maps.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of  $\beta$ -irresolute map and investigate some properties of  $\beta$ -irresolute maps.

## II. For $\beta$ -irresolute maps on nearly open sets

**Definition 2.1.** A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\beta$ -irresolute if the inverse image of every  $\beta$ -set of  $Y$  is a  $\beta$ -set in  $X$ .

The concepts of continuous map,  $\alpha$ -irresolute map and  $\beta$ -irresolute map are independent. For,

**Example 2.2.** (1) Let  $f: (R, T) \rightarrow (R, L)$  by  $f(x) = x$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $T$  is the usual topology on the real numbers  $R$  and  $L$  is the lower limit topology on  $R$ . Then  $f$  is not continuous and not  $\alpha$ -irresolute map but  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute map.

(2) Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$  be equipped with the topologies  $T_X = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ ,  $T_Y = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, Y\}$ .

Define  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f(a) = x$ ,  $f(b) = y$ ,  $f(c) = f(d) =$

z. Then  $f$  is continuous but  $f$  is not  $\beta$ -irresolute, since  $f^{-1}(\{x,y\}) = \{a,b\} \notin \beta(X)$  for  $\beta$ -set  $\{x,y\}$ . Similarly  $f$  is not  $\alpha$ -irresolute map.

(3) Let us equip the sets  $X$  and  $Y$  as (2) with the topologies  $T_X = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $T_Y = \{\phi, \{x\}, Y\}$  respectively.

Define  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f(a) = f(b) = x, f(c) = y, f(d) = z$ . Then  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute and  $\alpha$ -irresolute but it is not continuous, since  $f^{-1}(\{x\}) = \{a,b\} \notin T_X$  for  $\{x\} \in T_Y$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  are  $\beta$ -irresolute maps then  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$  is a  $\beta$ -irresolute map.

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be a  $\beta$ -set of  $Z$ . Then  $g^{-1}(B)$  is a  $\beta$ -set of  $Y$ , for  $g$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute. Therefore  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(B)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(B)$  is a  $\beta$ -set of  $X$  because  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute. Hence  $g \circ f$  is a  $\beta$ -irresolute map.

**Definition 2.4.** ([4]) An  $\alpha$ -set ( $\beta$ -set) which is closed is termed  $\alpha$ -closed ( $\beta$ -closed).

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $B \subset X_0 \subset X$ . If  $X_0$  is closed in  $X$  and  $B \in \beta(X)$  then  $B \subset \beta(X_0)$ .

**Proof.** If  $B$  is empty then it is trivial. So let  $B$  be a nonempty  $\beta$ -set of  $X$ . Then  $B \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(B))$ . Since  $B$  is a nonempty  $\beta$ -set, it is clear that  $\text{Int}(B) \neq \phi$ . Since  $B \subset X_0$  and  $X_0$  is closed, we have  $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(B)) \subset X_0$ . Therefore,  $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(B)) \cap X_0 = \text{Cl}_{X_0}(\text{Int}(B))$ , and  $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(B)) \subset \text{Cl}_{X_0}(\text{Int}_{X_0}(B))$ . Hence  $B \subset \text{Cl}_{X_0}(\text{Int}_{X_0}(B))$  and  $B \in \beta(X_0)$ .

We know that the union of  $\beta$ -sets is a  $\beta$ -set but the finite intersection of  $\beta$ -sets is not  $\beta$ -set in general. Say, in  $(R,T)$  of Example 2.2 (1), we have  $[2,3] \cap [3,4] = \{3\} \notin \beta(R)$  for  $[2,3]$  and  $[3,4]$  are  $\beta$ -sets.

**Lemma 2.6.** A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is an  $\alpha$ -set if and only if  $A \cap B \in \beta(X)$  for all  $B \in \beta(X)$ .

**Proof.** See [1], section 1, proposition 1.

**Theorem 2.7.** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\beta$ -irresolute map and  $A$  is an  $\alpha$ -closed in  $X$ , then the restriction  $f|_A: A \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\beta$ -irresolute map.

**Proof.** Since  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute, for any  $\beta$ -set  $V$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \beta(X)$ . By hypothesis  $A$  is closed,

hence by lemma 2.5,

$$(f|_A)^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(V) \cap A \in \beta(A)$$

by lemma 2.6, since  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -set.

This shows that  $f|_A$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute.

**Remark.** In theorem 2.7, if  $A$  is simply closed in  $X$ , then  $f|_A$  is not always  $\beta$ -irresolute. For if we take  $A = \{b,c,d\}$  and consider example 2.2 (3), then we see that  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute but  $f|_A$  is not  $\beta$ -irresolute. And if  $A$  is not  $\alpha$ -closed but  $\beta$ -closed, then for any  $\beta$ -set  $V$  of  $Y$ ,

$$(f|_A)^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(V) \cap A \notin \beta(A) \text{ by lemma 2.6.}$$

**Definition 2.8.** ([4]) The complement of an  $\alpha$ -set ( $\beta$ -set) is termed a co $\alpha$ -set (co $\beta$ -set). We denote the family of all co $\alpha$ -sets (co $\beta$ -sets) of  $X$  by  $\text{co}\alpha(X)$  ( $\text{co}\beta(X)$ ).

The intersection of all the co $\alpha$ -sets (co $\beta$ -sets) containing a set  $A$  is termed the  $\alpha$ -closure ( $\beta$ -closure) of  $A$ . Denote it by  $\alpha\text{cl}(A)$  ( $\beta\text{cl}(A)$ ). Then a set  $A$  is co $\alpha$ -set (co $\beta$ -set) if and only if  $\alpha\text{cl}(A) = A$  ( $\beta\text{cl}(A) = A$ ).

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $x \in \beta\text{cl}(A)$  if and only if for any  $\beta$ -set  $U$  containing  $x, A \cap U \neq \phi$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $x \in \beta\text{cl}(A)$ . Let  $U$  be a  $\beta$ -set containing  $x$  such that  $U \cap A = \phi$ . And so,  $A \subset X - U$ . But  $X - U$  is a co $\beta$ -set and hence  $\beta\text{cl}(A) \subset X - U$ . Since  $x \notin X - U$ , we obtain  $x \notin \beta\text{cl}(A)$  which is contrary to the hypothesis. Conversely, suppose that every  $\beta$ -set of  $X$  containing  $x$  meets  $A$ . If  $x \notin \beta\text{cl}(A)$ , then there exists a co $\beta$ -set  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subset F$  and  $x \notin F$ . Therefore,  $x \in X - F \in \beta(X)$ . Hence  $X - F$  is a  $\beta$ -set of  $X$  containing  $x$  but  $(X - F) \cap A = \phi$ . This is contrary to the hypothesis.

- Theorem 2.10.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. Then the followings are equivalent; (1)  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute. (2) For  $x \in X$  and any  $\beta$ -set  $V$  of  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$ , there exists  $U \in \beta(X)$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $f(U) \subset V$ . (3)  $f(\beta\text{cl}(A)) \subset \beta\text{cl}(f(A))$  for every  $A \subset X$ . (4)  $\beta\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\beta\text{cl}(B))$  for any  $B \subset Y$ . (5) Inverse image of every co $\beta$ -set of  $Y$  is a co $\beta$ -set

of X.

**Proof.** (1) implies (2): Let  $V \in \beta(Y)$  and  $f(x) \in V$ . Since  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \beta(X)$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . Put  $U = f^{-1}(V)$ . Then  $x \in U$  and  $f(U) \subset V$ . (2) implies (3): Let  $A \subset X$  and  $b \in \beta \text{cl}(A)$ . We show  $f(b) \in \beta \text{cl}(f(A))$  by proving each  $\beta$ -set  $V$  of  $Y$  which contains  $f(b)$  intersects  $f(A)$ . For, finding  $U \in \beta(X)$  containing  $b$  with  $f(U) \subset V$ ,  $b \in \beta \text{cl}(A)$  implies that  $\phi \neq U \cap A$ , which shows  $\phi \neq f(U \cap A) \subset f(U) \cap f(A) \subset V \cap f(A)$ . (3) implies (4): Let  $A = f^{-1}(B)$ . Then  $f(\beta \text{cl}(A)) \subset \beta \text{cl}(f(A)) = \beta \text{cl}(f(f^{-1}(B))) = \beta \text{cl}(B \cap f(X)) \subset \beta \text{cl}(B)$ , so that  $\beta \text{cl}(A) \subset f^{-1}(\beta \text{cl}(B))$ , as required. (4) implies (5): Let  $BCY$  be a  $\text{co}\beta$ -set. Then  $\beta \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\beta \text{cl}(B)) = f^{-1}(B)$ , and since always  $f^{-1}(B) \subset \beta \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B))$ , this shows that  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $\text{co}\beta$ -set. (5) implies (1): This follows from  $f^{-1}(Y-B) = X - f^{-1}(B)$  for any  $\beta$ -set  $B$ .

### III. $\beta$ -Hausdorff space and $\beta$ -irresolute maps

**Definition 3.1.** A space  $X$  is said to be  $\beta$ -Hausdorff if for any two distinct points  $x, y$  of  $X$ , there exist disjoint  $\beta$ -sets  $U, V$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ .

It is clear that every Hausdorff space is  $\beta$ -Hausdorff.

**Proposition 3.2.** The following properties are equivalent;

- (1)  $Y$  is  $\beta$ -Hausdorff.
- (2) Let  $p \in Y$ . For each  $p \neq q$ , there exists  $U \in \beta(Y)$  such that  $p \in U$  and  $q \notin \beta \text{cl}(U)$ .
- (3) For each  $p \in Y$ ,  $\cap \{ \beta \text{cl}(U) : U \text{ is } \beta\text{-set containing } p \} = p$ .
- (4) The diagonal  $\Delta = \{ (y, y) : y \in Y \}$  is  $\text{co}\beta$ -set in  $Y \times Y$ .

We have the following lemma to prove the proposition 3.2.

**Lemma 3.3.** If  $A \in \beta(X)$ , and  $B \in \beta(Y)$ , then  $A \times B \in \beta(X \times Y)$ .

**Proof.**  $A \times B \subset \text{cl}_X(\text{Int}_X A) \times \text{cl}_Y(\text{Int}_Y B) = \text{cl}_{X \times Y}(\text{Int}_X A \times \text{Int}_Y B) = \text{cl}_{X \times Y}(\text{Int}_{X \times Y}(A \times B))$ . Con-

sequently  $A \times B \in \beta(X \times Y)$ .

**Proof of the proposition 3.2:** (1) implies (2): Given  $p \neq q$ , there exist disjoint  $\beta$ -sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $p$  and  $q$  respectively, which says that  $q \notin \beta \text{cl}(U)$ . (2) implies (3): If  $p \neq q$  then there exists  $\beta$ -set  $U$  such that  $p \in U$  and  $q \notin \beta \text{cl}(U)$ . Hence  $q \notin \cap \{ \beta \text{cl}(U) : U \text{ is a } \beta\text{-set containing } p \}$ . (3) implies (4): Let  $(p, q) \notin \Delta$ , then  $p \neq q$  and since  $p \in \cap \{ \beta \text{cl}(U) : U \text{ is a } \beta\text{-set containing } p \}$ , there exists some  $U \in \beta(Y)$  with  $p \in U$  and  $q \notin \beta \text{cl}(U)$ . Since  $U \cap \{ Y - \beta \text{cl}(U) \} = \phi$ ,  $U \times \{ Y - \beta \text{cl}(U) \}$  is a  $\beta$ -set containing  $(p, q)$  by lemma 3.3. in  $Y \times Y - \Delta$ . Hence  $Y \times Y - \Delta = \cup \{ U \times \{ Y - \beta \text{cl}(U) \} \}$  is a  $\beta$ -set. Therefore  $\Delta$  is a  $\text{co}\beta$ -set. (4) implies (1): If  $p \neq q$ , then  $(p, q) \notin \Delta$ . Therefore  $(p, q)$  has a  $\beta$ -set  $U \times V$  of  $Y \times Y$  such that  $(U \times V) \cap \Delta = \phi$ . Hence  $p \in U \in \beta(Y)$  and  $q \in V \in \beta(Y)$  and  $U \cap V = \phi$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\beta$ -irresolute map and  $Y$  is  $\beta$ -Hausdorff then  $G(f)$  is a  $\text{co}\beta$ -set of  $X \times Y$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(x, y) \in X \times Y - G(f)$ . Then  $y \neq f(x)$ . Since  $Y$  is  $\beta$ -Hausdorff, there exist disjoint  $\beta$ -sets  $W$  and  $V$  of  $Y$  such that  $f(x) \in W$  and  $y \in V$ . Moreover, by theorem 2.10 (2), there exist  $U \in \beta(X)$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $f(U) \subset W$ , because  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute. Therefore we obtain  $(x, y) \in U \times V \subset X \times Y - G(f)$ . By lemma 3.3,  $U \times V \in \beta(X \times Y)$ . Hence  $X \times Y - G(f)$  is a union of  $\beta$ -sets of  $X \times Y$ . Therefore  $X \times Y - G(f) \in \beta(X \times Y)$  since the union of  $\beta$ -sets is a  $\beta$ -set. Consequently,  $G(f)$  is a  $\text{co}\beta$ -set of  $X \times Y$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $X$  be arbitrary and  $Y$  be  $\beta$ -Hausdorff and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\beta$ -irresolute map and injective. Then  $X$  is  $\beta$ -Hausdorff.

**Proof.** For any  $x \neq y \in X$ ,  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  since  $f$  is injective. Then there exist disjoint  $\beta$ -sets  $U, V$  containing  $f(x), f(y)$  respectively. Hence  $f^{-1}(U), f^{-1}(V)$  are disjoint  $\beta$ -sets containing  $x, y$  respectively. And  $X$  is  $\beta$ -Hausdorff.

We recall that a topology is called extremally disconnected if the closure of every open set is open. ([2])

**Lemma 3.6.** A topology  $T$  on  $X$  is extremally

disconnected if and only if  $\beta(X)$  is a topology.

**Proof.** See [1], section 2.

**Proposition 3.7.** If  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  are  $\beta$ -irresolute maps for extremally disconnected space  $X$  and  $\beta$ -Hausdorff space  $Y$ ,  $A = \{x: f(x) = g(x)\}$  is a  $\text{co}\beta$ -set of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $y \in X-A$ . Then  $f(y) \neq g(y)$ . Since  $Y$  is  $\beta$ -Hausdorff, there exist disjoint  $\beta$ -sets  $U, V$  of  $Y$  such that  $f(y) \in U$  and  $g(y) \in V$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(U)$  and  $g^{-1}(V)$  are  $\beta$ -sets of  $X$  because  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\beta$ -irresolute. Let us put  $B = f^{-1}(U) \cap g^{-1}(V)$ . Then  $y \in B \in \beta(X)$  by lemma 3.6, since  $X$  is extremally disconnected. Moreover,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  for otherwise  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently,  $y \in B \subset X-A$ , and hence

$X-A$  is a union of  $\beta$ -sets of  $X$ , i.e.  $X-A \in \beta(X)$ . Therefore  $A$  is a  $\text{co}\beta$ -set of  $X$ .

**Corollary 3.8.** If  $f$  is a  $\beta$ -irresolute map of a  $\beta$ -Hausdorff space  $X$  which is extremally disconnected into itself then the set  $A = \{x: f(x) = x\}$  is a  $\text{co}\beta$ -set.

**Proof.** Let  $a \in \beta\text{cl}(A)$ . If  $a \notin A$ , then  $f(a) \neq a$ . Since  $X$  is  $\beta$ -Hausdorff, there exist  $U, V \in \beta(X)$  such that  $f(a) \in U$ ,  $a \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  is  $\beta$ -irresolute,  $f^{-1}(U) \in \beta(X)$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(U) \cap V$  is a  $\beta$ -set by lemma 3.6, and it contains  $a$ . Since  $a \in \beta\text{cl}(A)$ , by lemma 2.9,  $f^{-1}(U) \cap V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . This leads to a contradiction that  $U$  and  $V$  have a common point. Hence  $a \in A$ , and  $\beta\text{cl}(A) \subset A$ . Consequently,  $A$  is a  $\text{co}\beta$ -set.

#### References

- [1] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J. Math.* 15(1965), 961-970.
- [2] T. Thompson,  $S$ -closed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 60(1976), 335-338.
- [3] Suk Geun Hwang, Almost  $c$ -continuous functions, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 14(2) (1978), 229-234.
- [4] S.N. Maheshwari and S.S. Thakur, On  $\alpha$ -irresolute mappings, *Tamkang J. Math.* 11(2) (1980), 209-214.
- [5] R.F. Dickmann Jr. and R.L. Krystock,  $S$ -sets and  $s$ -perfect mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 80(1980), 687-692.
- [6] W.J. Pervin, *Foundations of General Topology*, Academic Press, New York (1964).
- [7] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston (1970).

## 國文抄錄

### $\beta$ -irresolute 寫像에 관한 考察

本 論文에서는, O. Njastad 가 定義한  $\beta$ -set 을 利用하여,  $\beta$ -irresolute 寫像을 定義하고 그에 關한 同値條件과 그외의 몇가지 性質을 찾아 研究하였다.

이  $\beta$ -irresolute 寫像은 連續寫像과 다르며, 또한 Maheshwari 와 Thakur 가 定義한  $\alpha$ -irresolute 寫像과도 다른 性質임을 例로써 보았다. 더우기  $\beta$ -Hausdorff 空間을 定義하여 그의 特性 및 몇가지 位相的 性質을 찾아 證明하였다.