

RIEMANNIAN CURVATURE WITH BI-INVARIANT ON SYMMETRIC SPACES

HYUN, JIN-OH

Department of Mathematics Education
Cheju National University

1. Introduction

In this paper, we have proved some theorems which assert that every compact connected Lie group is a symmetric manifold with respect to the bi-invariant metric. Using the definition of curvature operator, we will derive some results of Riemannian curvature operator and Riemannian curvature tensor.

2. Bi-invariant Riemannian metric

Let M be a C^∞ manifold, and let $\theta : R \times M \rightarrow M$ be a C^∞ mapping satisfying the conditions

$$(1) \theta(0, p) = p \quad \text{for every } p \in M$$

$$(2) \theta_t \circ \theta_s(p) = \theta_{t+s}(p) = \theta_s \circ \theta_t(p)$$

for every $s, t \in R$ and for every $p \in M$, where $\theta_t(p) = \theta(t, p)$.

Then θ is called a C^∞ action or one parameter group of M . For each one parameter group $\theta : R \times M \rightarrow M$ there exists a unique C^∞ vector field X , which is called the infinitesimal generator of θ , such that

$$X_p f = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \{f(\theta_{\Delta t}(p)) - f(p)\}$$

for each $f \in C^\infty(p)$.

Definition 2.1. If $\theta : G \times M \rightarrow M$ is the action of group G on M . Then a vector field X on M is said to be *invariant* under each of the diffeomorphism θ_g of M to itself for every $g \in G$. That is, $\theta(g_*, X) = X$.

Proposition 2.2. If $\theta : R \times M \rightarrow M$ is a C^∞ action of R on M . Then the infinitesimal generator X is invariant under this action, that is

$$\theta_{t_*}(X_p) = X_{\theta_t(p)} \quad \text{for all } t \in R.$$

Proof. Let $f \in C^\infty(\theta_t(p))$ for some $(t, p) \in R \times M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{t_*}(X_p)f &= X_p(f \circ \theta_t) \\ &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} [f \circ \theta_t(\theta_{\Delta t}(p)) - f \circ \theta_t(p)]. \end{aligned}$$

However, R is Abelian and we have

$$\theta_t \circ \theta_{\Delta t} = \theta_{t+\Delta t} = \theta_{\Delta t} \circ \theta_t.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{t_*}(X_p)f &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} [(f \circ \theta_{\Delta t}(\theta_t(p))) - f(\theta_t(p))] \\ &= X_{\theta_t(p)}f. \end{aligned}$$

Let G be a Lie group. For each $a \in G$, let L_a [R_a] be a left[right] transformation. That is, for every $g \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_a : G &\rightarrow G, \quad L_a(g) = ag, \quad \text{and} \\ R_a : G &\rightarrow G, \quad R_a(g) = ga. \end{aligned}$$

If a C^∞ vector field X of G has the property that $L_{a_*}(X_g) = X_{ag}$ ($R_{a_*}(X_g) = X_{ga}$) for every $a, g \in G$, then X is said to be *left(right) invariant*.

We put $\mathcal{L} = \{X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \mid X \text{ is a left invariant } C^\infty \text{ vector field}\}$.

Then vector space \mathcal{L} is a Lie algebra with product $[X, Y]$. \mathcal{L} is called the *Lie algebra* of G . In this case $\mathcal{L} \cong T_e(G)$ where e is the identity of G as Lie algebra ([5]).

Let G be a Lie group. For each $a \in G$ we define $I_a : G \rightarrow G$ by $I_a(g) = aga^{-1}$. We can easily prove the following : For $a, b \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} L_a^{-1} &= L_{a^{-1}}, & R_a^{-1} &= R_{a^{-1}}, & L_a \circ R_a &= R_a \circ L_a \\ I_a &= L_a \circ R_{a^{-1}}, & I_{ab} &= I_a \circ I_b. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can get the following : For $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (1) L_{a_*}(R_{a_*}X) &= R_{a_*}(L_{a_*}X) = R_{a_*}X \in \mathcal{L} \\ (2) I_{a_*}X &= L_{a_*}(R_{a^{-1}_*}X) = R_{a^{-1}_*}X \in \mathcal{L} \\ (3) I_{a_*}([X, Y]) &= [I_{a_*}X, I_{a_*}Y] \in \mathcal{L} \\ (4) R_{a_*} \text{ and } I_{a_*} &\text{ are automorphisms of } \mathcal{L} \end{aligned} \right\} \dots\dots\dots (*)$$

It is *bi-invariant* if it is both left and right invariant.

Definition 2.3. Let $F : R \rightarrow G$ be a group homomorphism, where R is a Lie group with addition and G be a Lie group. Then $F(R) = H \subset G$ is called a *one parameter subgroup* of G .

Proposition 2.4. Let G be a Lie group. Then there is an one-to-one correspondence between \mathcal{L} and the set of all one-parameter subgroups of G . equally, every left invariant vector field of G is complete ([4]).

Let Φ be a Riemannian metric on M . Then every Lie group has a left-invariant Riemannian metric and every Lie group is orientable ([4]).

From the existence of a bi-invariant volume element one is able to deduce many important properties of Lie group, if define the bilinear form Φ_e determines a bi-invariant tensor field of order 2 on $T_e(G)$. Then we have following property.

Proposition 2.5. It is possible to defined a bi-invariant Riemannian metric Φ on a compact connected Lie group G . ([4])

3. Symmetric Riemannian manifold

Let $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ be the set of all C^∞ vector fields over a C^∞ manifold M . Then it is obvious that $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a module of the commutative ring $C^\infty(M)$.

Definition 3.1. A C^∞ connection ∇ on M is a mapping

$$\nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

defined by $\nabla(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y$, which is satisfying conditions:

For all $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, and $X, X', Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

- (1) $\nabla_{fX+gX'}Y = f\nabla_X Y + g\nabla_{X'}Y$
- (2) $\nabla_X(fY + gY') = f\nabla_X Y + g\nabla_X Y' + (Xf)Y + (Xg)Y'$
- (3) $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X$ (Symmetric)
- (4) $X(Y, Y') = (\nabla_X Y, Y') + (Y, \nabla_X Y')$,

where $(\ , \)$ is the inner product on M .

A C^∞ connection ∇ is called a Riemannian connection.

Let M be a Riemannian manifold. Then it has been proved that there exists a unique Riemannian connection ∇ ([4]).

Theorem 3.2. Let $F : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$ be an isometry between Riemannian manifolds M_1 and M_2 . Then F preserves the Riemannian connection.

Proof. Let $\nabla^{(1)}$ and $\nabla^{(2)}$ be Riemannian connections of M_1 and M_2 , respectively. For each $X', X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We have

$$F_*X(F_*X', F_*Y) = X(X', Y),$$

where $(\ , \)$ is the inner product on the given Riemannian manifolds. In fact noting $(F_*X', F_*Y)_{F(p)} = (X', Y)_p$ for each $p \in M_1$ where F is an isometry, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_*X(F_*X', F_*Y)_{F(p)} &= X_p(F_*X', F_*Y)_{F(p)} \\ &= X_p(F_*X', F_*Y)_{F(p)} \\ &= X_p(X', Y)_p. \end{aligned}$$

By (4) of Definition 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} F_*(X)(F_*X', F_*Y) &= (\nabla_{F_*X}^{(2)} F_*X', F_*Y) + (F_*X', \nabla_{F_*X}^{(2)} F_*Y) \\ &= X(X', Y) \\ &= (\nabla_X^{(1)} X', Y) + (X', \nabla_X^{(1)} Y) \\ &= (F_*(\nabla_X^{(1)} X'), F_*Y) + (F_*X', F_*(\nabla_X^{(1)} Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(F_*(\nabla_X^{(1)}X') - \nabla_{F_*X}^{(2)}F_*X', F_*Y) + (F_*X', F_*(\nabla_X^{(1)}Y) - \nabla_{F_*X}^{(2)}F_*Y) = 0.$$

Since the above identity holds for $X, Y, X' \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have

$$F_*(\nabla_X^{(1)}Y) = \nabla_{F_*X}^{(2)}F_*Y$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Definition 3.3. Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold. If to each $p \in M$ there exists an isometry $\sigma_p : M \rightarrow M$ which is

- (1) σ_p is involutive (i.e., $\sigma_p^2 = \text{id}$), and
- (2) there exists an open neighborhood U of p such that $\sigma_p|_U$ has the only fixed point p , then M is said to be symmetric. Sometimes p is called isolated fixed point of a symmetry σ_p .

Let M be a symmetric manifold and let $\sigma_p : M \rightarrow M$ be a symmetry at p . Then for $X_p \in T_p(M)$, $\sigma_{p_*}(X_p) = -X_p$, where p_* denoting the point antipodal at p . ([5])

Proposition 3.4. A symmetric Riemannian manifold M is complete. Furthermore, for each $p \in M$ the symmetry σ_p at p maps a geodesic on M through p onto itself. ([5])

Theorem 3.5. Every compact and connected Lie group G is the symmetric space with respect to the bi-invariant metric. Thus with the bi-invariant metric G is complete.

Proof. By proposition 2.5, G has the bi-invariant metric. Define $\Psi : G \rightarrow G$ by $\Psi(x) = x^{-1}$ for each $x \in G$. It follows that Ψ is involute because that Ψ has only one fixed point e (identity of G). Recall that for each $X_e \in T_e(G)$ there exists a unique one parameter subgroup $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ such that $X_e = \dot{F}(0)$. If $x = F(t)$ then $x^{-1} = F(-t)$ and thus $\Psi(F(t)) = F(-t)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_*(X_e) &= \Psi_*(\dot{F}(0)) = \frac{d}{dt}(\Psi(F(t)))|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}F(-t)|_{t=0} = -\dot{F}(0) = -X_e. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for $X_e, Y_e \in T_e(G)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_{*e}X_e, \Psi_{*e}Y_e) &= (-X_e, -Y_e) \\ &= (X_e, Y_e), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\ , \)$ is the bi-invariant inner product on $T_e(G)$. That is, Ψ_{*e} is an isometry on $T_e(G)$. Note that L_a and $R_a (a \in G)$ are isometries with respect to the bi-invariant metric of G . Since

$$\Psi(x) = x^{-1} = (a^{-1}x)^{-1}a^{-1} = R_{a^{-1}} \cdot \Psi \cdot L_{a^{-1}}(x)$$

for each $x \in G$ $\Psi_{*a} : T_a(G) \rightarrow T_{a^{-1}}(G)$ may be written as

$$\Psi_{*a} = (R_{a^{-1}})_e \cdot \Psi_{*e} \cdot (L_{a^{-1}})_a.$$

Thus Ψ_{*a} is an isometry. In consequence, $\Psi : G \rightarrow G$ is an isometry. For each $g \in G$ define σ_g by

$$\sigma_g = L_g \cdot R_g \cdot \Psi, \text{ that is, } \sigma_g(x) = gx^{-1}g.$$

Then it follows that σ_g is the symmetry at G .

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Then each geodesic through the identity e of G is a one parameter subgroup of G . Furthermore every point of a connected Lie group G is a one parameter subgroup. Thus this geodesic is an one parameter subgroup and so G is a one parameter subgroup.([4])*

Let M be a Riemannian manifold. For C^∞ vector fields X, Y over M , the curvature operator $R(X, Y)$ is defined by

$$R(X, Y) \cdot Z = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y Z) - \nabla_Y(\nabla_X Z) - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z$$

for each C^∞ vector field Z over M , where ∇ is the Riemannian connection of M .

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let \mathcal{L} be the Lie algebra of G . For $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{L}$, Riemannian curvature operator equal to $\frac{1}{4}[Z, [X, Y]]$ with bi-invariant Riemannian metric.*

Proof. Let ∇ be the Riemannian connection with bi-invariant metric of G . Take $X \in \mathcal{L}$ then $\nabla_X X = 0$. In fact, X_e define a unique one parameter subgroup $F : R \rightarrow G$ such that $F(0) = e$ and $\dot{F}(0) = X_e$. For a C^∞ vector field Y over M ,

$$\nabla_{X_e} Y = \frac{D}{dt} Y_{F(t)}|_{t=0}.$$

Hence

$$\nabla_{X_e} X = \frac{D}{dt} X_{F(t)}|_{t=0}.$$

$F(t)$ is geodesic by proposition 3.6 and thus

$$\frac{D}{dt} X_{F(t)} = \frac{D}{dt} \left(\frac{dF}{dt} \right) = 0.$$

This means that $\nabla_{X_e} X = 0$. Since our metric is left-invariant and X is also left-invariant, by Theorem 3.2 $\nabla_X X = 0$ everywhere on G . Since if X and Y are left invariant vector fields then so are $X + Y$ and $[X, Y]$, we have

$$0 = \nabla_{X+Y}(X + Y) = \nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X \quad (\nabla_X X = 0 = \nabla_Y Y).$$

If X and Y are left invariant, then

$$\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X = 0, \quad [X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X.$$

By properties (*), the connection of a biinvariant metric on G is given by

$$\nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y].$$

For X, Y and Z in \mathcal{L} , since

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X(\nabla_Y Z) &= \frac{1}{2}[X, \nabla_Y Z] = \frac{1}{2}[X, \frac{1}{2}[Y, Z]] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[X, [Y, Z]] \\ \nabla_X(\nabla_X Z) &= \frac{1}{4}[Y, [X, Z]] \\ \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z &= \frac{1}{2}[[X, Y], Z] \end{aligned}$$

we have the following;

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(X, Y) \cdot Z &= \nabla_X(\nabla_Y Z) - \nabla_Y(\nabla_X Z) - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \\
 &= \frac{1}{4}[X, [Y, Z]] - \frac{1}{4}[Y, [X, Z]] - \frac{1}{2}[[X, Y], Z] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4}\{[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]]\} + \frac{1}{4}[Z, [X, Y]] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4}[Z, [X, Y]].
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.8. *Let G be a symmetric Riemannian manifold and let \mathcal{L} be the Lie algebra of G . Then for $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{L}$ Riemannian curvature tensor*

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = -\frac{1}{4}([X, Y], [Z, W])$$

with bi-invariant Riemannian metric.

Proof. From the result $R(X, Y, Z, W) = (R(X, Y) \cdot Z, W)$, using the property $([X, Y], Z) = (X, [Y, Z])$ ([6]) and Theorem 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(X, Y, Z, W) &= -\frac{1}{4}([X, Y], [Z, W]) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4}([X, Y], [Z, W]).
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCE

- [1]. Daniel Martin ; *Manifold Theory an introduction for Mathematical Physicist*. Ellis Horwood Limited (1991)
- [2]. K Yano & M Kon ; *Structures on Manifold*. World Scientific Publishing Co. (1984)
- [3]. R. L. Bishop ; *Geometry of Manifold*. Academic Press, INC. (1964)
- [4]. W. M. Boothby ; *An Introduction to Differentiable Manifolds and Riemannian Geometry*. Academic Press (1975).
- [5]. W. Klingenberg ; *Riemannian Geometry*. Walter de Gruyter (1982)
- [6]. S. Helgason ; *Differential Geometry, Lie groups, and Symmetric spaces*. Academic Press. (1962)
- [7]. F. W. Gehring, P. R. Halmos, C. C. More ; *Modern Geometry Methods and Applications (I, II)*. Springer-Verlag (1984).