

# A note on Regression Estimates in Stratified Sampling

Kim Ik-chan, Yang Sung-ho \*

層化標本抽出에서의 回歸推定値에 관한 小考

金益贊, 梁成豪\*

## 1. Introduction

The linear regression estimate can be designed to increase precision by the use of an auxiliary variate  $x_i$  that is correlated with  $y_i$  like the ratio estimate. When the relation between  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  is examined, it may be found that although the relation is approximately linear, the line does not go through the origin.

This suggests an estimate based on the linear regression of  $y_i$  on  $x_i$  rather than on the ratio of the two variables. We suppose that  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  are each obtained for every unit in the sample and that the population mean  $\bar{X}$  of the  $x_i$  is known.

The linear regression estimate of  $\bar{Y}$ , the population mean of the  $y_i$ , is

$$\hat{Y}_{lr} = \bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x}) \quad (1.1)$$

Where the subscript  $lr$  denotes linear regression and  $b$  is an estimate of the change in  $y$  when  $x$  is increased by unity. The rationale of this estimate

is that if  $\bar{x}$  is below average we should expect  $\bar{y}$  also to be below average by an amount  $b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$  because of the regression of  $y_i$  on  $x_i$ . For an estimate of the population total  $Y$ , we take  $\hat{Y}_{lr} = N\bar{y}_{lr}$ .

## 2. Notation

In stratified sampling the population of  $N$  units is first divided into subpopulations of  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_L$  units, respectively.

These subpopulations are nonoverlapping, and together they comprise the whole of the population, so that  $N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_L = N$ .

The subpopulations are called strata. The sample size within the strata are denoted by  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_L$ , respectively. The suffix  $h$  denote the stratum and  $i$  the unit within the stratum. The following symbols all refer to stratum  $h$ .

$N_h$ : total number of units

師範大學 助教授, 師範大學 助教授\*

$n_h$  : number of units in sample  
 $y_{hi}$  : value obtained for  $i$ th unit  
 $W_h = N_h/N$  : stratum weight  
 $f_h = n_h/N_h$  : sampling fraction in the stratum  
 $f = h/N$  : sampling fraction  
 $\bar{Y}_h = \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} y_{hi} / N_h$  : true mean  
 $\bar{y}_h = \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} y_{hi} / n_h$  : sample mean  
 $S_{yh}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_h} (y_{hi} - \bar{y}_h)^2 / (N_h - 1)$  : true variance

### 3. Theorems

There are two ways in which a regression estimate can be made in stratified random sampling. One is to make a separate regression estimate  $\bar{y}_{lrsh}$ , computed for each stratum mean, that is,

$$\bar{y}_{lrsh} = \bar{y}_h + b_h(\bar{X}_h - \bar{X}_h) \quad (3.1)$$

then, with  $W_h = N_h/N$ ,

$$\bar{y}_{lrsh} = \sum_h W_h \bar{y}_{lrsh} \quad (3.2)$$

An alternative combined regression estimate,  $\bar{y}_{lr}$ , is derived by combining estimates in stratified sampling. To compute  $\bar{y}_{lr}$ , we first find

$$\bar{y}_{st} = \sum_h W_h \bar{y}_h \quad \bar{x}_{st} = \sum_h W_h \bar{x}_h \quad (3.3)$$

Then

$$\bar{y}_{lr} = \bar{y}_{st} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x}_{st}) \quad (3.4)$$

#### Preliminary 1.

In simple random sampling, in which  $b_0$  is a preassigned constant, the linear regression estimate

$$\bar{y}_{lr} = \bar{y} + b_0(\bar{X} - \bar{x}) \quad (3.5)$$

is unbiased, with variance

$$V(\bar{y}_{lr}) = \frac{1-f}{n} (S_y^2 - 2b_0 S_{yx} + b_0^2 S_x^2) \quad (3.6)$$

#### Proof

See [Cochran]

#### Preliminary 2.

The value of  $b_0$  that minizes  $V(\bar{y}_{lr})$  is

$$b_0 = B = S_{yx} / S_x^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \bar{Y})(x_i - \bar{X})}{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{X})^2} \quad (3.7)$$

And the minimum variance is

$$V_{min}(\bar{y}_{lr}) = \frac{1-f}{n} S_y^2 (1 - \rho^2) \quad (3.8)$$

where  $\rho$  is the population correlation coefficient between  $y$  and  $x$ .

#### Proof

see [Cochran]

#### Theorem 1.

The linear regression estimate  $\bar{y}_{lrsh}$  (s for separate), (3.2) is unbiased estimate of  $\bar{Y}$ , with variance

$$V(\bar{y}_{lrsh}) = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} (S_{yh}^2 - 2b_h S_{y_xh} + b_h^2 S_{xh}^2) \quad (3.9)$$

#### Proof

Each stratum mean  $\bar{y}_{lrsh}$  is the sample mean of the quantities  $y_{hi} - b_h(x_{hi} - \bar{X})$ . Hence by Preliminary 1

$$E(\bar{y}_{lrsh}) = E \sum_h W_h \bar{y}_{lrsh} = \sum_h W_h \bar{Y}_h = \frac{\sum_h N_h \bar{Y}_h}{N} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{h=1}^H y_{hi}}{N} = \bar{Y} \quad (3.10)$$

And

$$V(\bar{y}_{lrsh}) = V(\sum_h W_h \bar{y}_{lrsh}) = \sum_h W_h^2 V(\bar{y}_{lrsh}) \quad (3.11)$$

On the other hand

$$V(\bar{y}_{lrsh}) = \frac{1-f_h}{n_h} \cdot \frac{\sum [(y_{hi} - \bar{Y}_h) - b_h(x_{hi} - \bar{X}_h)]^2}{N-1} = \frac{1-f_h}{n_h} (S_{yh}^2 - 2b_h S_{y_xh} + b_h^2 S_{xh}^2) \quad (3.12)$$

Substituting (3.12) into (3.11)

$$V(\bar{y}_{lrsh}) = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} (S_{yh}^2 - 2b_h S_{y_xh} + b_h^2 S_{xh}^2) \quad (3.13)$$

#### Theorem 2.

$V(\bar{y}_{lrsh})$  is minimized when  $b_h = B_h$ , the true regression coefficient in stratum  $h$ .

And the minimum value of the variance is

$$V_{\min}(\bar{y}_{lrs}) = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} (S_{yh}^2 - \frac{S_{yxh}^2}{S_{xh}^2}) \quad (3.14)$$

**Proof**

By the Preliminary 2.  $V(\bar{y}_{lrs})$  is minimized

$$\text{when } b_h = B_h = \frac{S_{yxh}}{S_{xh}^2} \quad (3.15)$$

By partially differentiation (3.13) with respect to  $b_h$  and substituting (3.15) into  $V(\bar{y}_{lrs})$  then

$$V_{\min}(\bar{y}_{lrs}) = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} (S_{yh}^2 - \frac{S_{yxh}^2}{S_{xh}^2})$$

**Theorem 3**

The combined regression estimate  $\bar{y}_{lrc}$  is an unbiased estimate of  $\bar{Y}$  with variance

$$V(\bar{y}_{lrc}) = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} (S_{yh}^2 - 2bS_{yx1} + b^2 S_{xh}^2) \quad (3.16)$$

**Proof**

By Preliminary 1

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{y}_{lrc}) &= E[\bar{y}_{st} + b(\bar{X} - x_{st})] \\ &= E(\sum_h W_h \bar{y}_h) + E[b(\bar{X} - \sum_h W_h \bar{x}_h)] \\ &= \bar{Y} \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Since  $\bar{y}_{lrc}$  is the usual estimate from the stratified sample for the variate  $y_h + b(\bar{X} - x_h)$ , and the variance of the estimate  $\bar{y}_{st}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} V(\bar{y}_{st}) &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{h=1}^L N_h(N_h - n_h) \frac{W_h^2}{n_h} \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^L W_h^2 \frac{S_{yh}^2}{n_h} (1-f_h) \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

hence

$$V(\bar{y}_{lrc}) = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} (S_{yh}^2 - 2bS_{yxh} + b^2 S_{xh}^2)$$

**Theorem 4.**

The value of  $b$  that minimizes the variance of (3.16) is

$$B_c = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h) S_{yxh}}{n_h} / \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h) S_{xh}^2}{n_h} \quad (3.19)$$

**Proof**

From (3.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V(\bar{y}_{lrc})}{\partial b} &= \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} (-2S_{yxh} + 2S_{xh}b) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{then } b = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h) S_{yxh}}{n_h} / \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h) S_{xh}^2}{n_h}$$

is the minimized variance.

$$\text{hence } B_c = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h) S_{yxh}}{n_h} / \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h) S_{xh}^2}{n_h}$$

**Theorem 5.**

$$V_{\min}(\bar{y}_{lrc}) - V_{\min}(\bar{y}_{lrs}) = \sum_h a_h (B_h - B_c)^2 \quad (3.20)$$

$$\text{where } a_h = \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} S_{xh}^2$$

**Proof**

$$V_{\min}(\bar{y}_{lrs}) = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} (S_{yh}^2 - 2B_c S_{yxh} + B_c^2 S_{xh}^2)$$

$$V_{\min}(\bar{y}_{lrc}) = \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} (S_{yh}^2 - \frac{S_{yxh}^2}{S_{xh}^2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\min}(\bar{y}_{lrc}) - V_{\min}(\bar{y}_{lrs}) &= \sum_h \frac{W_h^2 (1-f_h)}{n_h} (-2B_c S_{yxh} \\ &\quad + B_c^2 S_{xh}^2 + \frac{S_{yxh}^2}{S_{xh}^2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_h a_h B_h^2 + \sum_h a_h B_c^2 - 2 \sum_h a_h B_c B_h \\ &= \sum_h a_h (B_h^2 + B_c^2 - 2B_c B_h) \\ &= \sum_h a_h (B_h - B_c)^2 \end{aligned}$$

This result shows that with the optimum choices the separate estimate has a smaller variance than the combined estimate unless  $B_h$  is the same in all strata.

In comparing of the two types of estimate, if we are confident that the regressions are linear and  $B_h$  appears to be roughly the same in all strata.

the combined estimate is to be preferred. If the regressions appear linear, so that the danger of bias seems small, but  $B_h$  seems to vary markedly from stratum to stratum, the separate estimate is

advisable. If there is some curvilinearity in the regressions when a linear regression estimate is used, the combined estimate is safer unless the samples are large in all strata.

### Literature cited

- Cochran, W. G., 1977. Sampling Techniques. John Wiley & sons, Inc. New York. 3rd ed. p. 89-204.
- Draper, N. R., 1981. Applied Regression Analysis John Wiley & sons, Inc. New York. 2nd ed. p. 117-124.
- Park sung-hyun, 1984. 回歸分析. 大英社. p. 137-170.
- Taro Yamane, 1967. Elementary Sampling Theory. Prentice-hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, N. J. p. 121-158.

### 國文抄錄

線型回歸推定은 精度를 높인다. 특히 層化 標本抽出에서의 回歸推定에는 두가지 方法이 있다. 즉 분리된 回歸推定과 결합된 回歸推定 方法이다. 결합형 推定値는 모든 層別에서 그 係數가 동일한 경우에 분리형에서는 層別간 현저한 변화가 있는 경우에 유용하게 적용된다. 이들 두 형태의 回歸推定値에 관한 推定量과 最少分散値 및 偏倚差에 관한 정리를 고찰하였다.