

On the Banach space c_0

Seok-zun Song · Seong-kowan Hong

Banach 空間 c_0 에 關하여

宋 錫 準 · 洪 性 官

Summary

In this paper, we treat the relation between weakly compactness and compactness in the Banach space c_0 .

0. Introduction

Lindenstrauss conjectured that the second dual X^{**} of a Banach space X is injective if and only if X contains a subspace isomorphic to c_0 . The author tries to investigate the properties of c_0 and operator on it systematically for the study of the conjecture.

1. The basic properties of c_0

Let l_∞ be the space of all bounded sequences of real numbers, c the space of convergent sequences and c_0 the space of sequences converging to 0, all of which are equipped with the sup-norm $\|(\xi_i)\| = \sup_i |\xi_i|$. We note that these are normed linear spaces under the pointwise addition and multiplication by reals and the sup-norm.

Theorem 1. c_0 , c and l_∞ are real Banach spaces. c_0 is a closed subspace of c and c is a closed subspace of l_∞ .

For the proof see 2, pp.218—219. Closedness of c_0 in c (or c is in l_∞) is clear since limit point of c_0 (or c) induces a Cauchy sequence in c_0 (or c).

Theorem 2. c_0 is topologically isomorphic to c .

Proof. For each (ξ_i) in c converging to ξ , define T from c into c_0 by

$$T(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = (\xi, \xi_1 - \xi, \xi_2 - \xi, \dots).$$

Then $\|T\| = \|T^{-1}\| = 2$ and so T is the required isomorphism.

Theorem 3. c is Banach isomorphic to $c_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Proof For each $x = (x_i)$ in c let $t = \lim x_i$. We can put $x = x_0 + te$ where x_0 is in c_0 and $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$. Define $T : c \rightarrow c_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ by $x \rightarrow (x_0, t)$, where $c_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|(x_0, t)\| = \sup_i |x_i + t|$. Then $\|T\| = \|T^{-1}\| = 1$ and $\|T(x)\| = \|x\|$. Therefore $c = c_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4. c_0^* and c^* are isometrically isomorphic to l_1 .

Proof. We shall first prove for c_0^* that it is isometrically isomorphic to l_1 .

If $y = (\eta_i) \in l_1$ add $\wedge x = \sum \xi_i \eta_i$ for every $x = (\xi_i) \in c_0$, then \wedge is a bounded linear functional on c_0 , since $|\wedge x| \leq \sum |\eta_i| = \|y\|_1$ for any $x \in c_0$ with $\|x\| = 1$. We claim that $\|\wedge\| = \|y\|_1$. In fact for any

$n \geq 1$, let $\xi_i = \text{sgn } \eta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\xi_i = 0$ for $i > n$. Then $x = (\xi_i)$ is in c_0 , $\|x\| = 1$ and so $\wedge x = \sum_1^n \eta_i |\cdot| \leq \|\wedge\|$ for every n , that is $\|y\|_1 = \sum_1^\infty |\eta_i|$. Therefore $\|\wedge\| = \|y\|_1$.

Next, let's show every $\wedge \in (c_0)^*$ is obtained in this way. Let $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$ where 1 is in the i -th place and there are zeros in other places. We know that $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ is a basis of c_0 . Let $\wedge \in (c_0)^*$ and $\wedge(e_i) = \eta_i$. By linearity and continuity of \wedge , $\wedge(x) = \sum \xi_i \eta_i$ for any $x = (\xi_i) \in c_0$. We claim $(\eta_i) \in l_1$. For any $n \geq 1$, let $\xi_i = \text{sgn } \eta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\xi_i = 0$ if $i > n$. Then $x = (\xi_i) \in c_0$, $\|x\| = 1$ and so $|\wedge(x)| = \sum_1^n |\eta_i| \leq \|\wedge\| < \infty$. Thus $\sum_1^\infty |\eta_i| \leq \|\wedge\|$, i. e. $y = (\eta_i) \in l_1$.

Define T from c_0^* to l_1 by $\wedge \mapsto y$, where $y = (\eta_i)$, $\wedge(x) = \sum \xi_i \eta_i$ for $x = (\xi_i) \in c_0$. Then T is obviously one to one, onto and linear. Furthermore T is norm-preserving.

By the similar method c^* is isometrically isomorphic to l_1 .

2. Weakly compact subsets in c_0

Lemma 1. Let x_n and x be in c_0 . $x_n = (a_i^n)$ converges weakly to $x = (a_i)$ if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and $\lim_n a_i^n = a_i$ for each i .

Proof. c_0 is naturally imbedded in l_1^* . If x_n converges weakly to x , then by the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem $\{\|x_n\|\}$ is bounded. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. Now since each e_i belongs to l_1 , $x_n \cdot e_i$ converges to $x \cdot e_i$ which gives the fact that $\lim_n a_i^n = a_i$.

Now assume that $\{x^n\}$ is bounded and $\lim_n a_i^n = a_i$. Let: $z = (b_i) \in l_1$. Since $\sum_1^\infty |b_i| < \infty$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists N such that $\sum_{n+1}^\infty |b_i| < \varepsilon$. Since for each i $\lim_n a_i^n = a_i$, for the given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is M such that $n > M$ implies $|a_i^n - a_i| < \varepsilon$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Then if $n > M$, $|x_n \cdot z - x \cdot z| = |\sum a_i^n b_i - \sum a_i b_i| \leq \sum |a_i^n - a_i| |b_i| = \sum_1^N |a_i^n - a_i| |b_i| + \sum_{n+1}^\infty |a_i^n - a_i| |b_i| \leq \varepsilon \sum_1^N |b_i| + \|x_n - x\| \sum_1^\infty |b_i| < \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta)$

since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded from the assumption.

We shall give an example that the condition that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded is essential in the above lemma.

Example 1. Let $x_n = n^2 e_n$, $x = 0$ in c_0 , where e_n is the standard basis, $z = (1/1^2, 1/2^2, 1/3^2, \dots)$ in l_1 . Then $\lim_n a_i^n = 0$ for each i , but $|x_n \cdot z - x \cdot z| = 1$. ($x_n = (a_i^n)$).

Theorem 1. Let K be a subset of c_0 . Then the following two statements are equivalent.

- 1) K is relatively weakly compact.
- 2) K is bounded and the closure of K in the product topology is a compact subset of c_0 in the weak topology.

Proof. Note that $c_0 \subset R^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ and the product topology is the weak topology induced by the set of projections $\subset c_0^*$.

If 1) holds, the closure of K in the weak topology of c_0 is also compact in the product topology. Also since a continuous functional on a compact set is bounded, the set x^*K is bounded for any x^* in c_0^* and by the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem, K is bounded. Now let x be in the closure of K in the product topology. Then we can choose a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in K such that $\{x_n\}$ converges to x in the product topology. Now Since K is weakly compact, by the Eberlein theorem a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to some y in c_0 . But by lemma 1, $x = y$. Therefore x is in c_0 . Now since K is bounded, the closure of K in the product topology is compact in the weak topology.

Suppose 2) holds. Then the closure of K in the product topology is a compact subset of c_0 in the weak topology. Note that the closure of K in the weak topology is contained in the closure in the

product topology. Since a closed subset of a compact set in a Hausdorff space is also compact, K is relatively compact.

Example 2. Let $K = \{e_i : i=1, 2, \dots\}$, where e_i is the standard basis. Then K is relatively weakly compact, but not relatively compact.

Definition. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. A linear operator T from X to Y is said to be compact (weakly compact) if T maps the closed unit ball of X to a relatively compact (relatively weakly compact) subset of Y .

Lemma 2. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in l_1 . x_n converges weakly to x if and only if x_n converges to x . Moreover, relative compactness and relatively weakly compactness are the same in the space l_1 .

Proof. If x_n converges to x , then clearly x_n converges weakly to x since the weak topology is weaker than the original topology.

Suppose that x_n converges weakly to x where $x_n = (a_i^n)$, and $x = (a_i)$. Then for any $\Lambda \in l_1^*$, $\Lambda(x_n) \rightarrow \Lambda x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $l_1^* = l_\infty$, in other words, for any $\Lambda \in l_1^*$, there is one and only one $y = (b_i) \in l_\infty$ such that $\Lambda x = \sum a_i b_i$, $\|\Lambda\| = \|y\|$ for any $x = (a_i) \in l_1$. Therefore for any $\Lambda \in l_1^*$, $\sum (a_i^n - a_i) b_i \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Put $b_i = \text{sgn}(a_i^n - a_i)$. Then $\|y\| = \|(b_i)\| = 1$ and also y is in l_∞ . Therefore $\Lambda(x_n - x) = \sum (a_i^n - a_i) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\|x_n - x\|_1 = \sum |a_i^n - a_i|$, the lemma is proved.

Theorem 2. Let T be an operator from c_0 to

itself. Then T is compact if and only if T is weakly compact.

Proof. Note that T is compact if and only if T^* is compact on l_1 . By lemma 2, T^* is compact if and only if T^* is weakly compact. Thus the theorem is proved.

Lemma 3. Suppose E is a convex subset of c_0 . Then the weak closure of E is equal to its original closure.

Proof. Let \bar{E}_w be the weak closure of E . \bar{E}_w is weakly closed, hence originally closed, so that $\bar{E} \subset \bar{E}_w$. To prove the rest, choose $x_0 \in c_0$, $x_0 \notin \bar{E}$. Then there exists $\Lambda \in c_0^*$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $x \in \bar{E}$,

$$Re \Lambda x_0 < r < Re \Lambda x.$$

The set $\{x : Re \Lambda x < r\}$ is therefore a weak neighborhood of x_0 that does not intersect E . Thus x_0 is not in \bar{E}_w .

Theorem 3. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in c_0 that converges weakly to a $x \in c_0$. Then there is a sequence Then there is a sequence $\{y_i\}$ in c_0 such that

- a) each y_i is a convex combination of finitely many x_n ,
- b. $y_i \rightarrow x$ with respect to the sup-norm.

Proof. Let P be the convex hull of the set of all x_n , and let \bar{P}_w be the weak closure of P . Then $x \in \bar{P}_w$. By lemma 3, x is also in the original closure \bar{P} of P . It follows that there is a sequence $\{y_i\}$ in P that converges originally to x .

Literatures Cited

1. Eberlein, W. F., Weak compactness in Banach spaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA 33, 51-53, 1947.
2. Hewitt, E., Karl Stromberg, Real and Abstract Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1975.
3. Kadec, M. I., On the connection between weak and strong convergence, Dopovidi Akad. Nauk. Ukr. RSR9, 949-952, 1959.
4. Kosaku Yosida, Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
5. Rudin, W., Real and Complex Analysis, M. Graw-Hill, New York, 1974.
6. Rudin, W., Functional Analysis, M. Graw-Hill, New York, 1973.

국문 초록

Banach 空間 c_0 에 關하여

l_1 에서 약위상의 개념이 원위상과 일치함을 이용, 정의역과 공변역이 모두 c_0 인 선형함수가 compact가 되기 위한 필요충분조건이 weakly compact임을 밝히고, c_0 에서 약위상적 수렴은 sup-norm으로 주어진 거리공간 c_0 에서의 수렴과 어떤 관계가 있는가를 밝혔다.