On the Covariant Differentiation of the Nonholonomic Tensors in Vn

Hyun Jin-oh

Vn 공간에서 Nonholonomic Tensor 들의 공변미분에 관하여

玄 進 五

I. Introduction

Let e^{ν} (=1, 2, ..., n) be a set of n linearly independent vectors in n-dimensional Riemnnian space V_n referred to a real coordinate system x^{ν} . There is a unique reciprocal set of n linearly idenpendent covariant vectors e^{ν}_{λ} (j=1, 2, ..., n) satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad e^{\nu} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\lambda} = \delta^{\nu}_{\lambda} \qquad e^{\lambda} \quad e^{i}_{\lambda} = \delta^{i}_{j}(**).$$

Within the vectors e^{ν} and e^{l}_{λ} , a nonholonomic fram of V_n defined in the following way.

Definition 1.1. If T_{ν}^{λ} are holonomic components of a tensor. Then its nonholonomic components are defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \Gamma_{j \dots}^{i \dots} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_{\lambda \dots}^{\nu \dots} \stackrel{i}{e_{\nu}} \stackrel{\lambda}{e_{i}} \dots$$

Theorem 1.2. The derivative of e^{λ} is negative self-adjoint. That is

$$(1.3) \quad \partial_{k} \quad (\stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda}) \stackrel{e}{\downarrow}{}^{\mu} - \partial_{k} \left(\stackrel{e}{\downarrow}{}^{\mu} \right) \stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda}.$$

Theorem 1.3. The holonomic components of the christoffel symbol as follows;

(1.4)a
$$[\lambda \mu, \omega] = [j_k, m] \stackrel{i}{e}_{\lambda} e_{\mu}^{k} e_{\nu}^{m}$$

 $+ a_{jk} (\partial_{\mu}^{i} e_{\lambda}) e_{\mu}^{k}$

$$(1.4) \begin{Bmatrix} v \\ \lambda \mu \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ jk \end{Bmatrix} \stackrel{p}{e} \stackrel{j}{e} \lambda \stackrel{p}{e} \stackrel{k}{\lambda} \stackrel{(\partial_{\mu} e^{\nu})}{e} \stackrel{i}{\lambda} \stackrel{k}{e} \lambda$$
$$= \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ jk \end{Bmatrix} \stackrel{p}{e} \stackrel{j}{e} \lambda \stackrel{k}{e} \stackrel{k}{\mu} + (\partial_{\mu} \stackrel{j}{e}_{\beta}) \stackrel{\nu}{e} \stackrel{\nu}{\lambda}.$$

II. Covariant Differentiation of the Nonholonomic Covariant Tensors in V_n.

We know the derivative of the holonomic covariant and contravariant tensors in $\boldsymbol{V_n}$.

In this section, reconstruct and prove the derivative of holonomic components which represented by the nonholonomic component with respect to tensors in V_n . Furthermore, we study the derivative of the nonholonomic frame.

Take a coordinate system y^i for which we have at a point p of V_n

(2.1)
$$\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^{\lambda}} = \stackrel{j}{e_{\lambda}}, \quad \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^i} = \stackrel{p}{i}^{\nu}$$

^(**) Throughout the present paper, Greek indices take values 1,2, ..., n unles explicitly stated otherwise and follow the summation convention, while Roman indices are used for the nonholonomic componts of a tensor and run from 1 to n. Roman in dices also follow the summation convention.

Theorem 2.1. The covariant derivative of the holonomic covariant tensor $T_{\nu\lambda}$ may be expressed in terms of the nonholonomic components.

$$(2.2) \quad T_{\nu\lambda,\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}} \quad T_{ij} - T_{Qj} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\}$$
$$- T_{iQ} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\} \dot{e}_{\nu}^{i} \dot{e}_{\mu}^{i} \quad e_{\mu}^{k}$$

Proof. In order to prove (2.2), the derivative of the tensor $T_{\nu\lambda}$ with respect to x^{μ} interchange to the nonholonomic in the following ways;

From (1.2) and (2.1), we have

(2.2)
$$T_{\nu\lambda,\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} T_{ij} - T_{ij} \left\{ \begin{cases} \chi \\ i_k \end{cases} \right\}$$
$$- T_{ij} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \chi \\ i_k \end{cases} \right\} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu} \stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda} e_{\mu}^{k}.$$

$$(2.3) \frac{\partial T_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} (T_{ij} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu} \stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda})$$

$$= (\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu^{k}} T_{ij}) - \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu} \stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda} e^{k}_{\mu} + T_{ij} (\partial_{k} e^{i}_{\nu})$$

$$\stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda} e^{k}_{\mu} + T_{ij} (\partial_{k} \stackrel{j}{e}_{\beta}) e^{k}_{\mu} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu}$$

(2.4) can be obtained by making use of (1.2) and (1.4)b

$$(2.4) \quad T_{\omega\lambda} \begin{Bmatrix} \omega \\ v_{\mu} \end{Bmatrix} = T_{g_{i}} \stackrel{\varrho}{e}_{\omega} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\lambda} \begin{Bmatrix} \varrho \\ i_{k} \end{Bmatrix} e_{\varrho}^{\omega} \stackrel{i}{e}_{v} e_{\mu}^{k} + T_{g_{i}} \stackrel{\varrho}{e}_{\omega} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\omega} \stackrel{i}{e}_{v} \stackrel{i}{e}_{v}.$$

Similary, we have

(2.5)
$$\begin{cases} T_{\nu} \\ \lambda \mu \end{cases} = T_{i\hat{\chi}} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu} \stackrel{\hat{q}}{e}_{\omega} \begin{cases} \hat{\chi} \\ j,k \end{cases} e_{\mu}^{\omega} \stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda} e_{\mu}^{k} + T_{i\hat{\chi}} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu} \stackrel{\hat{q}}{e}_{\omega} = e_{\omega}^{\omega} (\partial_{\mu} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\lambda}).$$

If from (2.3) subtract the sum of these two equations (2.4) and (2.5), and making use of (1.1), we have

$$(2.6) \qquad \frac{\partial T_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - T_{\omega\lambda} \begin{Bmatrix} \omega \\ \nu_{\mu} \end{Bmatrix} - T_{\nu\omega} \begin{Bmatrix} \omega \\ \lambda_{\mu} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial y^{k}} - T_{ij} \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ ik \end{Bmatrix} - T_{ij} \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ ik \end{Bmatrix} e_{\nu}^{i} e_{\lambda}^{i} e_{\mu}^{k} + \left[T_{ij} e_{\mu}^{k} e_{\lambda}^{i} (\sigma_{k}^{i} e_{\nu}) - T_{ij} \delta_{j}^{i} e_{\lambda}^{i} (\sigma_{\mu}^{i} e_{\nu}) \right]$$

$$+ \left[T_{ij} e_{\mu}^{k} e_{\nu}^{i} (\sigma_{k}^{i} e_{\nu}) - T_{ij} e_{\nu}^{i} \delta_{j}^{i} (\sigma_{\mu}^{i} e_{\nu}) \right]$$

But, the second and third class of right hand side of (2.6) are vanish. That is

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\partial T_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - T_{\omega\lambda} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \nu\mu \end{matrix} \right\} - T_{\nu\omega} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \lambda\mu \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial y^{k}}$$
$$-T_{ij} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell \\ i_{k} \end{matrix} \right\} - T_{ij} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell \\ j_{ik} \end{matrix} \right\} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu} \stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda} \stackrel{k}{e}_{\mu} .$$

Hance (2.7) is equivalent to (2.2).

Corollary 2.2. We have

(2.8)
$$T_{ij, k} = \left[\frac{\sigma T_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - T_{\omega\lambda} \begin{Bmatrix} \omega \\ \nu_{\mu} \end{Bmatrix} - T_{\nu\omega} \begin{Bmatrix} \omega \\ \lambda_{\mu} \end{Bmatrix} \right]$$
$$= e^{\nu} e^{\lambda} e^{\lambda}_{k}.$$

Proof. By means of (1.1), (1.2) and (2.7), we have (2.8), where

$$(2.9) \quad T_{ij,k} = \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial y^k} - T_{ij} \begin{Bmatrix} \ell \\ ik \end{Bmatrix} - T_{ii} \begin{Bmatrix} \ell \\ i.k \end{Bmatrix}$$

III. Covariant Differentiation of the Nonholonomic Contravariant and Mixtensors in V_n

The purpose of the present section is to investigate some relation between two tensor field $T^{\nu\lambda}$ and T^{ij} .

Theorem 3.1. The covariant derivative of the holonomic contravariant tensor $T^{\nu\lambda}$ may be expressed in terms of the components of nonholonomic contravariant tensors

(3.1)
$$T_{i\mu}^{\nu\lambda} = \left[\frac{\partial T^{ij}}{\partial y^{k}} + T^{i\ell} \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ \ell k \end{Bmatrix} + T^{jm} \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ m_{k} \end{Bmatrix}\right]$$
$$e^{\nu} e^{\lambda} e^{k}_{\mu}.$$

Proof. Similary methods of the above solution of (2.2), from (1.2) and (2.1), we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\partial T^{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \frac{\partial T^{ij}}{\partial y^{k}} \quad e^{\nu}_{i} \quad e^{\lambda}_{j} \quad e^{k}_{\mu}$$

$$+ T^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}} \quad e^{\nu}_{i} \right) e^{\lambda}_{j} \quad e^{k}_{\mu}$$

$$+ T^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}} \quad e^{\lambda}_{j} \right) e^{\nu}_{i} \quad e^{k}_{\mu}.$$

By means of (1.2) and (1.4)b, we obtain

(3.3)
$$T^{\nu\omega} \begin{Bmatrix} \lambda \\ \omega \mu \end{Bmatrix} = T^{i\ell} \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ \ell k \end{Bmatrix} e^{\nu}_{i} e^{\lambda}_{j} e^{k}_{\mu}$$
$$+ T^{i\ell} \partial_{\mu} e^{\ell}_{\omega} e^{\nu}_{i} e^{\omega}_{\ell} e^{\lambda}_{\ell}$$

$$(3.4) \quad T^{\lambda\theta} \begin{Bmatrix} \lambda \\ \theta \mu \end{Bmatrix} = T^{jm} \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ mk \end{Bmatrix} \stackrel{\nu}{e} \stackrel{\lambda}{e} \stackrel{\lambda}{e} \stackrel{k}{\mu}$$

$$+ T^{jm} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ \mu & e \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{m}{e} \stackrel{\lambda}{\theta} \stackrel{k}{g} \stackrel{k}{m}$$

However, the second terms of right hand side of (2.11) and (2.12), by using (1.3) and properties

(3.5)
$$T^{\nu\lambda} = T^{\nu\mu}\delta^{\lambda}_{\mu}$$
, are given by

$$(3.6) \quad T^{i\hat{\chi}}(\partial_{\mu}\stackrel{\hat{q}}{e}_{\omega}) \stackrel{e^{\nu}}{=} \stackrel{e^{\omega}}{\hat{\chi}} \stackrel{\lambda}{=} \\ = -T^{i\hat{\chi}}(\partial_{k}\stackrel{\hat{q}}{=} \stackrel{\lambda}{=}) \stackrel{e^{\nu}}{=} \stackrel{e^{k}}{=}$$

(3.7)
$$T^{jm}(\partial_{\mu}\stackrel{m}{e}_{\theta}) \stackrel{\theta}{e}_{m} \stackrel{e\lambda}{e}_{jm} = -T^{ij}(\partial_{k}\stackrel{e\nu}{e}_{i}) \stackrel{e\lambda}{e}_{jm} = -T^{ij}(\partial_{k}\stackrel{e\nu}{e}_{i}) \stackrel{e\lambda}{e}_{m} \stackrel{e\lambda}{e}_{m}$$

Hence, the sum of these three equations (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) is given by

$$(3.8) \qquad \frac{\partial T^{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + T^{\nu\omega} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \lambda \\ \omega \mu \end{matrix} \right\} + T^{\lambda\theta} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \nu \\ \theta \mu \end{matrix} \right\}$$
$$= \frac{\partial T^{\emptyset}}{\partial y^{k}} + T^{il} \left\{ \begin{matrix} j \\ l_{k} \end{matrix} \right\} + T^{im} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ m_{k} \end{matrix} \right\} \quad e^{\nu} \quad e^{\lambda} \quad e^{k}_{\mu}$$

Making use of (2.9), we obtain the derivative of the nonholonomic contravariant tensor.

Corollary 3.2. We have

(3.9)
$$T^{ij}, k = \left[\frac{\partial T^{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + T^{\nu\omega} \begin{Bmatrix} \lambda \\ \omega \mu \end{Bmatrix} + T^{\lambda\theta} \begin{Bmatrix} \nu \\ \theta \mu \end{Bmatrix} e^{\nu} e^{\lambda} e^{k}_{\mu}.$$

Proof. In order the prove (3.9), multiplying $\dot{e}_{\nu}^{ij}\dot{e}_{\bar{n}}^{k}$ to both side of (3.1) and making use of (1.1) and (1.2), we have the result, where

(3.10)
$$T^{ij}_{,k} = \frac{\partial T^{ij}}{\partial y^k} + T^{ij} \begin{Bmatrix} j \\ l_k \end{Bmatrix} + T^{im} \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ m_k \end{Bmatrix}$$

Theorem 3.3. The covariant derivative of the holonomic mixed tensor T_{λ}^{ν} may be expressed in terms of the nonholonomic components.

$$(3.11) \quad T_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} = \left[T_{j}^{l} \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ lk \end{Bmatrix} + T_{j} \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ mk \end{Bmatrix} \right]$$

$$\lambda \quad -T_{l}^{i} \begin{Bmatrix} l \\ ik \end{Bmatrix} e_{k}^{\nu} e_{k}^{k} e_{\mu}^{k}.$$

Proof. Using the properties of (2.2) and (3.1) and making use of (1.2) and (2.1)

$$(3.12)\frac{\partial T_{\lambda}^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = (\frac{\partial T_{j}^{i}}{\partial y^{k}}) e_{\lambda}^{\nu} e_{\lambda}^{j} e_{\mu}^{k} + T_{j}^{i} (\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}} e_{i}^{\nu}) e_{\mu}^{k} e_{\lambda}^{j}$$
$$+ T_{j}^{i} (\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}} e_{\lambda}^{i}) e_{\lambda}^{\nu} e_{\lambda}^{j} e_{\mu}^{k}$$

By virtiue of (3.5),

(3.13)
$$T_{\lambda}^{\omega} \begin{Bmatrix} \nu \\ \omega \mu \end{Bmatrix} = T_{j}^{\ell} \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ \ell k \end{Bmatrix} e_{i}^{\nu} e_{\lambda}^{j} e_{\mu}^{k}$$
$$- T_{j}^{j} (\partial_{k} e_{\mu}^{\nu}) e_{\lambda}^{j} e_{\mu}^{k}$$

(3.14)
$$T_{\omega}^{\nu} \begin{Bmatrix} \omega \\ \lambda \mu \end{Bmatrix} = T_{j}^{i} \begin{Bmatrix} \ell \\ \bar{j}_{k} \end{Bmatrix} e_{i}^{\nu} e_{\lambda}^{i} e_{\mu}^{k}$$
$$-T_{j}^{i} (\partial_{k} e_{\lambda}^{j}) e_{i}^{\nu} e_{\mu}^{k}.$$

If from the sum of these two equations (3.12) and (3.13) subtract (3.14), we have (3.15)

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{\partial T_{\lambda}^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + T_{\lambda}^{\omega} \left\{ \frac{\nu}{\omega \mu} \right\} - T_{\omega}^{\nu} \left\{ \frac{\omega}{\lambda \mu} \right\}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial T_j^i}{\partial y^k} + T_j^i & \binom{i}{Ik} - T_{\ell}^i \binom{\ell}{jk} \end{bmatrix} \quad \stackrel{e^{\nu}}{\leftarrow} e_{\lambda}^i e_{\mu}^k$$

Corollary 3.4. The covariant derivative of the nonholonomic mixed tensor T is given by

$$(3.16) T_{j,k}^{i} = \left[\frac{\partial T_{\lambda}^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + T_{\lambda}^{\omega} \right]_{\omega\mu}^{\nu}$$

$$- T_{\omega}^{\nu} \left\{\frac{\omega}{\lambda \omega}\right\} e_{\nu}^{i} e_{j}^{\lambda} e_{k}^{\mu}.$$

Proof. (3.16) follow easily from (3.11) by using of (1.1) and (1.2).

The convariant derivative of the holonomic fundamental tensors $H_{\lambda\mu}$, $H^{\lambda\mu}$ and δ^{μ}_{λ} are equivalent to zero.

Making use of the (2.3), (3.4) and (3.16), we have

Corollary 3.5. The covariant derivative of the nonholonomic fundamental tensor H_{ij} , H^{ij} and δ^i_i all vanish identically.

Literature cited

- C.E. Weatherburn. 1957. An Introduction to Riemannian Geometry and the Tensor calculus. Cambridge University Press.
- [2] J.C.H. Gerretsen. 1962. Lectures on Tensor calculus and Differential Geometry. P. Noordhoff N.V. Groningen.
- [3] Chung K.T. & Hyun J.O. 1976. On the Nonholonomic Frames of V_n. Yonsei Nonchong, Vol. 13.
- [4] Hyun J.O. & Kim H.G. 1981. On the Christoffel Symbols of the Nonholonomic Frames in V_n.
- [5] Hyun J.O. & Bang E.S. 1981. On the Nonholonomic Components of the Christoffel Symbols in V_n(1).
- 6] Hyun J.O. & Kang T.C. 1983. A note on the Nonholonomic Self-Adjoint in V_n.

國 文 抄 錄

Riemann 공간 V_n 에서 Holonomic vector들의 derivative에 관한 여러가지 성질들은 이미 잘 알려진 사실이다.

본 論文에서는 Nonholonomic 구조를 정의하고, 이러한 구조하에서 Nonholonomic derivative에 관한 몇가지 성질들을 Holonomic 구조를 이용하여 재 구성하고 연구한다.